## n<sup>0</sup> equivalence structures and their isomorphisms

Valentina Harizanov (with Doug Cenzer and Jeff Remmel) George Washington University

> harizanv@gwu.edu http://home.gwu.edu/~harizanv/

- An equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A} = (\omega, E^{\mathcal{A}})$  is computable if its relation  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  is computable.
- $\blacklozenge\, \mathcal{A} = (\omega, E^\mathcal{A})$  is c.e. (or  $\mathsf{\Sigma}^0_1$ ) if  $E^\mathcal{A}$  is a c.e. set.  ${\mathcal A}$  is *co-c.e.* (or  $\sqcap^0_1)$  if  $E^{\mathcal A}$  is a co-c.e. set.
- Equivalence class of  $a: [a]^{\mathcal{A}} = \{x \in A : xE^{\mathcal{A}}a\}$ Character:

 $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \{\langle k, n \rangle : n, k > 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \text{ has } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes of size } k\}$ Bounded character:  $k$  is bounded

 $\bullet$  For any c.e. equivalence structure  $\mathcal{A}$ :

\n- (a) 
$$
\{\langle k, a \rangle : card([a]^\mathcal{A}) \geq k\}
$$
 is a c.e. set;
\n- (b)  $Inf^\mathcal{A} = \{a : [a]^\mathcal{A} \text{ is infinite}\}$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$  set;
\n- (c)  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\Sigma_2^0$  set.
\n

 $\bullet$   $K\subseteq \langle(\omega-\{0\})\times (\omega-\{0\})\rangle$  is a *character* if for all  $n > 0$  and k:

$$
\langle k, n+1 \rangle \in K \Rightarrow \langle k, n \rangle \in K
$$

 (Calvert-Cenzer-Harizanov-Morozov 2006) For any  ${\bf \Sigma}_2^0$  character  $K$ , there exists a computable equivalence structure  $A$  with infinitely many infinite equivalence classes and character  $K$ .

## (Corollary)

If  $A$  is a c.e. equivalence structure with infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  $\mathcal A$  is isomorphic to a computable equivalence structure.

- (Cenzer-Harizanov-Remmel 2011) For any  $\Sigma^0_2$  character  $K$  and any finite  $r,$ there is a c.e. equivalence structure with character  $K$  and with exactly  $r$  infinite equivalence classes.
- (Corollary)

There exists a c.e. equivalence structure (with finitely many infinite equivalence classes), which is not isomorphic to any computable equivalence structure.

- $\bullet$  A function  $f:\omega^2\to\omega$  is a (Khisamiev's) *s-function* if for every  $i$  and  $s$ :  $f(i, s) \le f(i, s + 1)$ , and the limit  $m_i = lim_s f(i, s)$  exists.
- $f$  is called an  $s_1$ -function if, in addition:  $m_0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_i < m_{i+1} < \cdots$

 $\{m_i:i\in\omega\}$  is a  $\Delta_2^0$  set.

- $\bullet$  Let A be a computable equivalence structure with finitely many infinite equivalence classes and infinite character  $\chi(\mathcal{A}).$
- $\bullet$  There exists a computable *s*-function  $f$  with limits  $m_i$  such that:

$$
\langle k, n \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{A}) \Leftrightarrow card(\{i : k = m_i\}) \ge n
$$

• If  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  is unbounded, then there is a computable  $s_1$ -function  $f$ such that  ${\cal A}$  contains an equivalence class of size  $m_i$  for each  $i.$ 

- Let  $K$  be a  $\mathsf{\Sigma}^0_2$  character, and  $r\in\omega.$
- $\bullet$  If  $f$  is a computable  $s$ -function with the limits  $m_i$  such that

$$
\langle k, n \rangle \in K \Leftrightarrow card(\{i : k = m_i\}) \ge n,
$$

then there is a computable equivalence structure  $A$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = K$  and with exactly r infinite equivalence classes.

 $\bullet$  If  $f$  is a computable  $s_1$ -function such that  $\langle m_i, 1 \rangle \in K$  for all  $i$ , then there is a computable equivalence structure  $A$  with  $\chi({\mathcal A})=K$  and exactly  $r$  infinite equivalence classes.

 $\bullet\,$  There is an infinite  ${\Delta}_2^0$  set  $D$  such that for any computable equivalence structure  $\mathcal A$  with unbounded character  $K$  and no infinite equivalence classes,  $\{k : \langle k, 1 \rangle \in K\}$  is not a subset of D.

Hence, for any computable  $s_1$ -function f with  $m_i = lim_s f(i, s)$  $m_0 < m_1 < \cdots$ there exists  $i_0$  such that  $m_{i_0}\notin D.$ 

(Corollary)

A c.e. equivalence structure with character  $\{\langle k, 1\rangle : k \in D\}$ and no infinite equivalence classes is not isomorphic to any computable equivalence structure.

Let  $C$  be a *computable* structure.

- $\bullet$  C is  $\Delta^0_n$  categorical if for all computable  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{C}$ , there is a  $\Delta^0_n$  isomorphism from  $\cal C$  onto  $\cal B.$
- $\bullet$  C is *relatively*  $\Delta_n^0$  *categorical* if for all  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{C}$ , there is an isomorphism from  $C$  onto  $B$ , which is  $\Delta_n^0$  relative to the atomic diagram of  ${\cal B}.$

(Calvert-Cenzer-Harizanov-Morozov 2006)

- A computable equivalence structure  $A$  is computably categorical iff: (1)  $A$  has finitely many finite equivalence classes, or (2)  $A$  has finitely many infinite classes, bounded character, and at most one finite  $k > 0$  with infinitely many classes of size k.
- $\bullet$  Every computable equivalence structure is  $\Delta^0_3$  categorical.
- Let  $\mathcal A$  be a computable equivalence structure with infinitely many infinite equivalence classes, and with unbounded character that has a computable  $s_1$ -function. Then  $\mathcal A$  is *not*  $\Delta^0_2$  *categorical*.

 $\bullet$  A Scott family for a countable structure C is a countable set  $\Phi$  of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$  formulas, with a fixed finite tuple of parameters in C, such that:

(i) each tuple in C satisfies some  $\psi \in \Phi$ ;

(ii) if  $\overline{a}$ ,  $\overline{b}$  are tuples in C satisfying the same formula  $\psi \in \Phi$ , then there is an automorphism of C taking  $\overline{a}$  to b.

 (Ash-Knight-Manasse-Slaman 1989, Chisholm 1990) A computable structure  $\mathcal C$  is *relatively*  $\Delta_n^0$  categorical *iff* C has a c.e. Scott family consisting of computable  $\Sigma_n$  formulas. (Calvert-Cenzer-Harizanov-Morozov 2006)

- Every computable *computably categorical* equivalence structure is relatively computably categorical.
- $\bullet$  Every computable equivalence structure is *relatively*  $\Delta^0_3$  *categorical*.
- $\bullet$  A computable equivalence structure  ${\cal A}$  is *relatively*  $\Delta_2^0$  *categorical iff*: (i)  $A$  has finitely many infinite equivalence classes, or (ii)  $A$  has bounded character.

 $\bullet$  If  $\mathcal A$  is a computable equivalence structure with bounded character, then  ${\cal A}$  is relatively  $\Delta_2^0$  categorical.

Let  $k$  be the maximum size of any finite equivalence class.  $[a]^{\mathcal{A}}$  is infinite *iff*  $[a]^{\mathcal{A}}$  contains at least  $k+1$  elements  $(\mathsf{\Sigma}^0_1$  condition).

 $\bullet$  If  $\mathcal A$  is a computable equivalence structure with finitely many infinite equivalence classes, then  ${\cal A}$  is relatively  $\Delta_2^0$  categorical.

Choose representatives  $c_1, \ldots, c_l$  for the finitely many infinite equivalence classes.

(Goncharov 1980)

There is a rigid computable graph that is computably categorical, but not relatively computably categorical.

- (Goncharov-Harizanov-Knight-McCoy-Miller-Solomon 2005) For every computable successor ordinal  $\alpha > 1$ , there is a computable structure that is  $\Delta^0_\alpha$  *categorical*, but *not relatively*  $\Delta^0_\alpha$  categorical.
- (Kach-Turetsky 2009) There is a computable  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical equivalence structure that is not *relatively*  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical.

(Cenzer-Harizanov-Remmel 2011)

- $\bullet$  Let A be a c.e. equivalence structure, and let  $\mathcal B$  be a computable structure isomorphic to  $\mathcal A$ such that  ${\cal B}$  is relatively  $\Delta_2^0$  categorical. Then  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\cal B}$  are  $\Delta_2^0$  *isomorphic*.
- (Corollary) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be isomorphic c.e. equivalence structures such that:

(i)  $A$  has finitely many infinite equivalence classes, or (ii) A has bounded character. Then  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\cal B}$  are  $\Delta_2^0$  *isomorphic*.

(Cenzer-Harizanov-Remmel 2011)

• Let  ${\mathcal A}$  and  ${\mathcal B}$  be isomorphic  $\Pi^0_1$  equivalence structures such that:

(i) either  $A$  has only finitely many finite equivalence classes, or

(ii)  $\mathcal A$  has finitely many infinite equivalence classes and bounded character, and there is exactly one finite  $k$  such that  ${\mathcal A}$  has infinitely many equivalence classes of size  $k$ .

Then  ${\cal A}$  and  ${\cal B}$  are  $\Delta_2^0$  *isomorphic*.

 $\bullet$  Proof. If  ${\cal B}$  is a  $\Pi^0_1$  equivalence structure, and  ${\cal C}$  is an isomorphic computable structure that is computably categorical, then, since  $C$  is also relatively computably categorical,  ${\cal C}$  and  ${\cal B}$  are  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic.

• Suppose that  $\beta$  is a computable equivalence structure with bounded character, for which there exist  $k_1 < k_2 \leq \omega$  such that  $\mathcal B$  has infinitely many equivalence classes of size  $k_1$  and infinitely many equivalence classes of size  $k_2$ .

Then there exists a  $\Pi^0_\mathcal{A}$  structure  $\mathcal A$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal B$ such that  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^{\bar 0}$  isomorphic to  ${\cal B}.$ Moreover,  $\mathcal A$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to any c.e. structure.

• Proof. We first suppose that  $B$  has no other equivalence classes.

It suffices to build a  $\Pi^0_1$  equivalence structure  ${\mathcal A}$  such that  $\{a : card([a]^\mathcal{A}) = k_2\}$  is not a  $\Delta^0_2$  set.

That is, for any  $\Sigma^0_1$  structure, the set of elements that belong to an equivalence class of (finite) size  $k$  is a  $\Delta^0_2$  set. So if  ${\mathcal A}$  were  $\Delta^0_2$  isomorphic to a  $\Sigma^0_1$  structure, then  ${\mathcal A}$  would also have this property.

• For simplicity, let A have universe  $\omega \setminus \{0\}$ .

Let  $\phi:\omega^3\to \{0,1\}$  be a computable function such that for every  $\Delta^0_2$  set  $D$ , there is some  $e$  for which for all  $n\in\omega,$  the limit  $\delta_e(n) =_{def}$  lim  $t\rightarrow\infty$  $\phi(t, e, n)$  exists and  $\delta_e$  is the characteristic function of D.

The function  $\phi$  exists by the Limit Lemma.

If  $\delta_e(n)$  is defined for all n, we let  $D_e = \{n : \delta_e(n) = 1\}.$ 

We will construct the equivalence relation  $E=E^{\mathcal{A}}$  so that for each  $e$ , if  $D_e$  exists, then  $card([2^e]^{\mathcal{A}})=k_2$  if and only if  $2^e\notin D_e.$ 

• We construct  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  in stages.

At each stage s, we define a computable equivalence relation  $E_s$  so that  $E_{s+1} \subseteq E_s$  for all  $s$ , and  $E^\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_s$ s  $E_s$ .

Let  $[a]_s$  denote the equivalence class of a in  $E_s$ .

At each stage  $s$ , we also define an *intended* equivalence class  $I_s[2^e]$ , either of size  $k_1$  or of size  $k_2$ .

We will ensure that for each  $e$ , there is some stage  $s_e$  such that for all  $s\geq s_{e}$ , we have  $[2^{e}]=I_{s}[2^{e}].$ Furthermore, for all  $s$ ,  $[2^e]_{s+1} \subseteq [2^e]_s$ , and  $\bigcap_s$ s  $[2^e]_s = [2^e].$ 

We also define a number of *permanent* classes [a] of size  $k_1$  at each s.

## Construction

Stage 0.

We start with the equivalence classes  $\{2^{e}(2k+1): k\in\omega\}$  for  $e\geq 0.$ For each  $e \geq 0$ , let  $I_0[2^e] = \{2^e, 3 \cdot 2^e, 5 \cdot 2^e, \ldots, (2k_1 - 1) \cdot 2^e\}.$ 

• Stage  $s+1$ .

At the end of stage  $s$ , assume that for each  $e$ , we have defined the intended equivalence class  $I_s[2^e]$ , so that  $I_s[2^e]$  is an initial subset of  $[2^e]_s$ , with cardinality either  $k_1$  or  $k_2$ .

Moreover, assume that if  $\phi(s,e,2^e)=1$ , then  $I_s[2^e]$  has cardinality  $k_1,$ and if  $\phi(s,e,2^e)=\mathsf{0}$ , then  $I_s[2^e]$  has cardinality  $k_2.$ 

 $\bullet\,$  For each  $\it e$ , we say that the element  $2^e$  *requires attention* at stage  $s+1$ if  $\phi(s+1,e,2^e) \neq \phi(s,e,2^e)$ . We can assume this occurs for exactly one e.

Let 
$$
[2^e]_s = \{2^e, a_1, a_2, \dots\}.
$$

- If  $2^e$  requires attention at stage  $s + 1$ , we take the following action according to whether  $I_s[2^e]$  has cardinality  $k_1$  or  $k_2.$
- Case (i):  $card(I_s[2^e]) = k_2$ Let  $I_{s+1}[2^e] = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_1-1}\},\$ let  $[2^e]_{s+1} = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_1-1}, a_{2k_1}, a_{2k_1+1}, \ldots\}$ , and create a permanent equivalence class  $\{a_{k_1},a_{k_1+1},\ldots,a_{2k_1-1}\}$  of size  $k_1.$
- Case (ii):  $card(I_s[2^e]) = k_1$
- Assume that  $k_2$  is finite.

Let  $I_{s+1}[2^e] = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_2-1}\},\,$ let  $[2^e]_{s+1} = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_2-1}, a_{k_2+k_1}, a_{k_2+k_1+1}, \ldots\}$ , and create a permanent equivalence class  $\{a_{k_2},a_{k_2+1},\ldots,a_{k_2+k_1-1}\}$  of size  $k_1.$ 

• Assume  $k_2 = \omega$ .

Let  $I_{s+1}[2^e] = [2^e]_{s+1} = [2^e]_s$ .

 $\bullet$  If  $2^e$  does not require attention, there are two cases.

- If  $k_2 = \omega$ ,  $I_s[2^e] = [2^e]_s$  is infinite, then let  $I_{s+1}[2^e] = [2^e]_{s+1} = [2^e]_s$ .
- If  $card([I_s[2^e]) = k_m$  is finite  $(m \in \{1, 2\})$ , then let  $I_{s+1}[2^e] = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_m-1}\},$  let  $[2^e]_{s+1} = \{2^e, a_1, \ldots, a_{k_m-1}, a_{k_m+k_1}, a_{k_m+k_1+1}, \ldots\}$ , and create a permanent equivalence class  $\{a_{k_m},a_{k_m+1},\ldots,a_{k_m+k_1-1}\}$  of size  $k_1.$
- Clearly, the equivalence relation  $E_s$  is uniformly computable, and  $E_{s+1} \subseteq E_s$  for every s.

Thus,  $E = \bigcap$ s  $E_s$  is a  $\mathsf{\Pi}^0_1$  equivalence relation.

• Every equivalence class in  $E$  has either  $k_1$  or  $k_2$  elements.  $A=\{n:card([2^n])=k_2\}$  is not a  $\Delta^0_2$  set.

## **Corollary**

• Suppose that  $\beta$  is a computable equivalence structure with bounded character, which is not computably categorical.

Then there exists a  $\Pi^0_1$  structure  ${\cal A}$  isomorphic to  ${\cal B},$ which is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover,  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to any c.e. structure. • Suppose that  $\beta$  is a computable equivalence structure, which is relatively  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical and has unbounded character, hence has only finitely many infinite equivalence classes.

Then there exists a  $\Pi^0_1$  structure  ${\mathcal A}$  that is isomorphic to  ${\mathcal B},$ but not  $\Delta^0_2$  isomorphic to  ${\cal B}.$ 

Moreover,  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to any c.e. structure.

• Proof. There is a computable  $s_1$ -function  $f$  such that for each  $i$ , there exists finite  $lim_s f(i,s)=m_i$  and  ${\cal B}$  has an equivalence class of size  $m_i.$ 

 $M=\{m_i:i\in\omega\}$  is a  $\Delta_2^0$  set.

Thus, there exists a computable equivalence structure which consists of exactly one equivalence class of size  $m_i$  for each  $i.$ 

- First, assume that B consists of exactly one equivalence class of size  $m_i$ for each  $i$ .
- It suffices to build an isomorphic  $\Pi^0_1$  equivalence structure  ${\mathcal A}$  such that  $\{a : card([a]^{\mathcal{A}}) = m_{2i} \text{ for some } i\}$  is not a  $\Delta_2^0$  set.
- $\bullet$  That is, we observe that the functions  $f_E$  and  $f_O$ , defined by  $f_E(i, s) = f(2i, s)$  and  $f_O(i, s) = f(2i + 1, s)$  are also  $s_1$ -functions.
- $\bullet$  Hence the sets  $M_{\mathbf{0}}=\{m_{2i}:i\in\omega\}$  and  $M_{\mathbf{1}}=\{m_{2i+1}:i\in\omega\}$  are both  $\Delta_2^0$ .
- There exist computable structures  $B_0$  and  $B_1$ , which consist of precisely one class of size  $m_{2i}$  for  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and of size  $m_{2i+1}$  for  $\mathcal{B}_1.$
- In the structure  $\mathcal{B}_0 \oplus \mathcal{B}_1$ , the set  $\{x : card([x]) \in M_0\}$  is computable.
- $\bullet$  Since we have assumed that  ${\cal B}$  is relatively  $\Delta_2^0$  categorical, it follows that for any  ${\sf \Sigma}_1^0$  equivalence structure with character  $\{(m,1): m\in M_0\!\cup\!M_1\}$ , the set  $\{x: card([x]) \in M_0\}$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ .

• Suppose that  $\beta$  is a computable equivalence structure, which is relatively  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical, but not computably categorical.

Then there exists a  $\Pi^0_1$  structure  ${\cal A}$  isomorphic to  ${\cal B},$ which is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover,  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to any c.e. structure.

• Previous theorem does not cover all computable  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical equivalence structures.

Kach and Turetsky showed that there exists a computable  $\Delta^0_2$  categorical equivalence structure  ${\cal B}$ , which has infinitely many infinite equivalence classes and unbounded character, but has no computable  $s_1$ -function, and has only finitely many equivalence classes of size  $k$  for any finite  $k$ .  $\bullet$  Let  $\beta$  be a computable equivalence structure with infinitely many infinite equivalence classes and with unbounded character such that for each finite  $k$ , there are only finitely many equivalence classes of size  $k$ .

Then there is a  $\Pi^0_1$  structure  ${\cal A}$ , which is isomorphic to  ${\cal B}$ , such that  $Inf^{\cal A}$  is  $\Pi^0_2$  complete.

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\Delta_2^0$  categorical, then  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to any computable structure.

• Suppose that  $\beta$  is a computable equivalence structure, which is not computably categorical.

Then there is a  $\Pi^0_1$  structure  ${\cal A}$  that is isomorphic to  ${\cal B}$ such that  ${\cal A}$  is not  $\Delta_2^0$  isomorphic to  ${\cal B}.$