

# Balancing Randomness

Daniel Turetsky, Victoria University of Wellington

<http://homepages.ecs.vuw.ac.nz/~dan/>

(Joint with Laurent Bienvenu, Noam Greenberg, Antonín Kučera and André Nies)

7 February, 2012

### Theorem (Hirschfeldt, Nies & Stephan)

*Every c.e. set below an incomplete MLR is  $K$ -trivial.*

### Question

*Is every  $K$ -trivial computable from an incomplete MLR?*

### Theorem (Kučera & Slaman)

*There is a low degree which bounds all  $K$ -trivials.*

### Question

*Is there a low MLR which computes every  $K$ -trivial?*

## Theorem

*There is a  $K$ -trivial  $A$  such that all MLR  $X \succ_T A$  are super-high ( $X' \geq_{tt} \emptyset''$ ).*

Suppose  $f$  is a nondecreasing function. Then the derivative exists almost everywhere. So if we effective  $f$ , some form of randomness implies  $f'(z)$  exists.

Suppose  $M$  is a martingale. Then  $\lim_n M(X \upharpoonright_n)$  exists almost everywhere. So if we effective  $M$ , some form of randomness implies  $\lim_n M(X \upharpoonright_n)$  exists.

We effectivize nondecreasing functions with interval c.e. functions:

### Definition

An increasing function  $f$  is *interval c.e.* if for all rationals  $a < b$ ,  $f(b) - f(a)$  is uniformly left c.e..

Example: the variation of a computable function is interval c.e..

We effectivize martingales with left c.e. martingales. (Not supermartingales.)

### Question

*For which reals  $Z$  does  $f'(Z)$  exist for all non decreasing, interval c.e. functions  $f$ ?*

### Question

*For which reals  $Z$  does every left c.e. martingale converge?*

## Definition

A *balanced test* is a nested sequence of  $\Sigma_1^0$  classes  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  with  $\lambda(\mathcal{U}_n) \leq 2^{-n}$ , and the indices of the  $\mathcal{U}_n$  are given by a computable approximation function which changes at most  $2^n$  times on input  $n$ .

A real  $Z$  passes  $\langle \mathcal{U}_n \rangle$  if  $Z \notin \bigcap \mathcal{U}_n$ .

A real  $Z$  is *balanced random* if it passes every balanced test.

Weak Demuth random  $\Rightarrow$  balanced random  $\Rightarrow$  difference random  
(all implications proper)

## Theorem

If  $Z$  is balanced random, then  $f'(Z)$  exists for all nondecreasing, interval c.e. functions  $f$ .

Idea of proof (for martingale version):

Fix rationals  $\alpha < \beta$  such that  $\exists^\infty n M(Z \upharpoonright_n) < \alpha$ ,

$\exists^\infty n M(Z \upharpoonright_n) > \beta$ .

An *upcrossing* is a pair of strings  $\sigma \prec \tau$  with  $M(\sigma) \leq \alpha$ ,  
 $M(\tau) > \beta$ .

Create  $\mathcal{U}_n$  by searching for upcrossings.

Every time  $M(\langle \rangle)$  passes a multiple of  $2^{-n}$ , change the version of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ .

## Definition

For a  $\Pi_1^0$  class  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the density of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $I$  is  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(I) = \lambda(I \cap \mathcal{C})/\lambda(I)$ .

For  $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ , the density of  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = \liminf_{\substack{|I| \rightarrow 0 \\ Z \in I}} \rho_{\mathcal{C}}(I)$ .

(Note that  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}([\sigma])$  is a right c.e. martingale.)

By Lebesgue density, almost every  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$  has density 1 in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Theorem (Bienvenu, Hölzl, Miller, Nies)

If  $Z$  is MLR and not LR-hard, then for every  $\Pi_1^0 \mathcal{C} \ni Z$ ,  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = 1$ .

If  $f'(Z)$  exists for all non-decreasing, interval c.e. functions  $f$ , then  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = 1$  for all  $\Pi_1^0 \mathcal{C} \ni Z$ .

So if  $Z$  is balanced random, then  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = 1$  for all  $\Pi_1^0 \mathcal{C} \ni Z$ .

Does this tell us anything new? Is there an LR-hard balanced random?

If  $f'(Z)$  exists for all non-decreasing, interval c.e. functions  $f$ , then  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = 1$  for all  $\Pi_1^0 \mathcal{C} \ni Z$ .

So if  $Z$  is balanced random, then  $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}(Z) = 1$  for all  $\Pi_1^0 \mathcal{C} \ni Z$ .

Does this tell us anything new? Is there an LR-hard balanced random?

We couldn't build one.

As Carl mentioned, when you have problems, define them away.

The problem was that  $\mathcal{U}_n$  might use a lot of its changes, while  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  still has most of its changes left.

### Definition

An *Oberwolfach test* is a balanced test such that every time  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  changes twice,  $\mathcal{U}_n$  changes at least once.

A real is *Oberwolfach random* if it passes every Oberwolfach test.

Balanced random  $\Rightarrow$  Oberwolfach random  $\Rightarrow$  difference random

### Theorem

If  $Z$  is Oberwolfach random, then  $f'(Z)$  exists for all nondecreasing interval c.e. functions  $f$ .

Does this fix the problem? No.

But it gets us some nice properties:

### Theorem

*If  $Z$  is MLR but not Oberwolfach random, then  $Z$  is  $h$ -JT-hard for any computable order  $h$  with  $\sum_x \frac{1}{h(x)} < \infty$ , and hence  $Z$  is super-high.*

## Theorem

*There is a  $K$ -trivial c.e. set  $A$  which is not below any Oberwolfach random.*

Proof sketch (for balanced random):

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{Y \mid \exists x \in A[\Theta^Y(x) = 0]\}$ .

Beginning at stage  $s_0$ , pick a  $v \notin A$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{Y \notin \mathcal{E}_{s_0} \mid A \upharpoonright_{v+1} \prec \Theta^Y\}$ .

When  $\lambda(\mathcal{U}_n)$  reaches  $2^{-n}$ ...

1. if  $\lambda(\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{E}_s) > 2^{-(n+1)}$ , choose a large  $v$  and start over.
2. if  $c_K(v, s) > 2^{-(n+1)}$ , choose a large  $v$  and start over.
3. otherwise, enumerate  $v$  into  $A$ , choose a large  $v$  and start over.

Putting these two together,

### Corollary

*There is a  $K$ -trivial  $A$  such that all  $X \succ_T A$  which are MLR are super-high.*

Also,

### Theorem (Figueira, Hirschfeldt, Miller, Ng, Nies)

*If  $X$  is not balanced random, then every  $Y \in \text{MLR}^X$  is balanced random.*

### Corollary

*If  $X \oplus Y$  is MLR, then at least one of  $X, Y$  is balanced random.*

### Corollary

*There is a  $K$ -trivial which is not below both halves of a MLR.*

## Question

*Can we separate Oberwolfach randomness from difference randomness?*