# When is a property expressed in infinitary logic also pseudo-elementary?

Matthew Harrison-Trainor

University of Waterloo

This is joint work with Barbara Csima and Nancy Day.

A class  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is a PC-class (pseudo-elementary class) if there is a language  $\mathcal{L}^* \supseteq \mathcal{L}$  and an elementary first-order sentence  $\phi$  such that

 $\mathbb{K} = \{\mathcal{M} \mid \text{there is an } \mathcal{L}^* \text{-structure } \mathcal{M}^* \text{ expanding } \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \mathcal{M}^* \vDash \phi\}.$ 

A class  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is a PC-class (pseudo-elementary class) if there is a language  $\mathcal{L}^* \supseteq \mathcal{L}$  and an elementary first-order sentence  $\phi$  such that

 $\mathbb{K} = \{\mathcal{M} \mid \text{there is an } \mathcal{L}^* \text{-structure } \mathcal{M}^* \text{ expanding } \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \mathcal{M}^* \vDash \phi\}.$ 

#### Example

The class of disconnected graphs is a PC-class.

A graph G is not connected iff there is a transitive  $S \supseteq E$  and a, b such that  $(a, b) \notin S$ .

The  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -formulas are built up inductively as follows:

- atomic formulas
- $\neg \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -formula
- $(\exists x) \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -formula
- $(\forall x) arphi$ , where arphi is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -formula
- if  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \omega}$  are  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -formulas, then so is  $\bigwedge_{i \in \omega} \varphi_i$
- if  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \omega}$  are  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$ -formulas, then so is  $\bigvee_{i \in \omega} \varphi_i$

## Example

The class of disconnected graphs is also defined by the  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_{I}\omega}$  sentence:

$$\exists x_1, x_2 \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \forall y_1, \dots, y_n \quad \neg (x_1 E y_1 \land y_1 E y_2 \land \dots \land y_n E x_2).$$

## Example

Let  $\phi$  be a first-order sentence. The class  $\mathbb{K}$  of infinite models of  $\phi$  is a PC-class and  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -definable.

 $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  is infinite if and only if there is a linear order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\forall x)(\exists y)[y > x]$ .

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}$  also defined by the infinitary sentence

$$\phi \wedge \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\exists x_0, \ldots, x_n) \left[ \bigwedge_{i \neq j} x_i \neq x_j \right].$$

# Example

Let  $\phi$  be a first-order sentence. The class  $\mathbb{K}$  of infinite models of  $\phi$  is a PC-class and  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -definable.

 $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  is infinite if and only if there is a linear order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $(\forall x)(\exists y)[y > x]$ .

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}$  also defined by the infinitary sentence

$$\phi \wedge \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\exists x_0, \ldots, x_n) \left[ \bigwedge_{i \neq j} x_i \neq x_j \right].$$

#### Example

The class of non-well-founded linear orders is a PC-class. It is not  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -definable.

We have two extensions of elementary first-order logic in two different directions. It is natural to ask what these two extensions have in common:

# Question

Characterize the classes which are both pseudo-elementary class and definable by an infinitary sentence.

There are actually four variants of pseudo-elementary classes:

- PC
- PC'
- PC<sub>Δ</sub>
- PC<sup>'</sup><sub>Δ</sub>

The  $\Delta$  means that we are allowed a theory (rather than a sentence) and the ' means that we are allowed to add new sorts. The classes with ' are a little difficult to define. In any case:

Theorem (Makkai)

 $\mathsf{PC}_\Delta$  and  $\mathsf{PC}_\Delta'$  are the same.

A class  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is a  $PC_{\Delta}$ -class if there is a language  $\mathcal{L}^* \supseteq \mathcal{L}$  and an elementary first-order theory  $\mathcal{T}$  such that

 $\mathbb{K} = \{\mathcal{M} \mid \text{there is an } \mathcal{L}^* \text{-structure } \mathcal{M}^* \text{ expanding } \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \mathcal{M}^* \vDash T\}.$ 

We want to know: Which classes which are both  $\mathsf{PC}_\Delta$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}\text{-elementary?}$ 

We have two examples so far of classes which are in this intersection:

Disconnected graphs, defined by:

$$\exists x_1, x_2 \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \forall y_1, \dots, y_n \quad \neg (x_1 E y_1 \land y_1 E y_2 \land \dots \land y_n E x_2).$$

**Infinite models of a first-order sentence**  $\phi$ , defined by:

$$\phi \wedge \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\exists x_0, \ldots, x_n) \left[ \bigwedge_{i \neq j} x_i \neq x_j \right].$$

An  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -sentence  $\varphi$  is a conjunctive formula if it can be written in normal form without any infinite disjunctions.

More concretely, the conjunctive formulas are defined inductively as follows:

- every finitary quantifier-free sentence is a conjunctive formula
- if  $\varphi$  is a conjunctive formula, then so are  $(\exists x)\varphi$  and  $(\forall x)\varphi$
- if  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \omega}$  are conjunctive formulas, then so is  $\bigwedge_{i \in \omega} \varphi_i$ .

Let  $\mathbb K$  be a class of structures. The following are equivalent:

- $\mathbb{K}$  is both a PC<sub> $\Delta$ </sub>-class and  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary.
- $\mathbb{K}$  is defined by a conjunctive sentence.

## Lemma (Modified Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose  $\phi_1$  is a conjunctive sentence and  $\phi_2$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -sentence with  $\phi_1 \models \phi_2$ . These sentences may be in different languages. There is a conjunctive sentence  $\theta$  such that  $\phi_1 \models \theta$ ,  $\theta \models \phi_2$ , and every relation, function and constant symbol occurring in  $\theta$  occurs in both  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

# Lemma (Modified Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose  $\phi_1$  is a conjunctive sentence and  $\phi_2$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -sentence with  $\phi_1 \models \phi_2$ . These sentences may be in different languages. There is a conjunctive sentence  $\theta$  such that  $\phi_1 \models \theta$ ,  $\theta \models \phi_2$ , and every relation, function and constant symbol occurring in  $\theta$  occurs in both  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

#### Corollary

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures closed under isomorphism. If  $\mathbb{K}$  is both a PC<sub> $\Delta$ </sub>-class and  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ -elementary, then it is defined by a conjunctive sentence.

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class definable by a computable conjunctive sentence in a finite language.

Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a PC' class.

Let  $\mathbb K$  be a class definable by a computable conjunctive sentence in a finite language.

Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a PC' class.

Relativizing, and using the fact that  $PC'_{\Delta} = PC_{\Delta}$ ,

#### Corollary

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class definable by a conjunctive sentence. Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a PC class.

Let  $\mathbb K$  be a class definable by a computable conjunctive sentence in a finite language.

Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a PC' class.

Relativizing, and using the fact that  $PC'_{\Delta} = PC_{\Delta}$ ,

## Corollary

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class definable by a conjunctive sentence.

Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a  $\mathsf{PC}_{\Delta}$  class.

# Question

If  $\mathbb K$  is both a PC-class and  $\mathcal L_{\omega_1\omega}\text{-elementary}$ , then is it defined by a computable conjunctive sentence?

# Example

The class of graphs with no cycles of prime length is a  $\mathsf{PC}'$ -class.

# Example

The class of graphs with at least one cycle of length p for each prime p is a PC'-class.

# Theorem (Mal'tsev, Tarski)

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a  $PC'_{\Delta}$ -class which is closed under substructures, then it axiomatized by a set of universal sentences.

# Theorem (Mal'tsev, Tarski)

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a  $PC'_{\Delta}$ -class which is closed under substructures, then it axiomatized by a set of universal sentences.

# Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a class of structures. The following are equivalent:

- K is a PC'-class which is closed under substructures,
- K is axiomatized by a computable universal theory.

# Workshop on Computability Theory and its Applications June 4-8, 2018 University of Waterloo, ON, Canada

**Organizing Committee**: Laurent Bienvenu, Peter Cholak, Barbara Csima, Matthew Harrison-Trainor.

**Invited plenary speakers**: Damir Dzhafarov, Bjørn Kjos-Hanssen, Joseph Miller, Selwyn Ng, Jan Reimann, Richard Shore, Linda Brown Westrick.

Public lecture: Antonio Montalbán.

For more information, visit the website,:

https://cta-waterloo.sciencesconf.org/