Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields

Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

January 11, 2018

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Background

Let K be an ACF₀ (or a RCF).

- K[t] is ring of formal power series.
- Expand K[t] to the field of Laurent series K(t).
- Expand K(t) to the algebraically closed field $K\{t\}$ of Puiseux series.

Definition

A Puiseux series (over K) is a formal sum $s = \sum_{i \ge k} a_i t^{\frac{l}{n}}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $a_i \in K$. The weight w(s) of s is the exponent of the first non-zero term in s, and is ∞ if s = 0.

That is, a Puiseux series is a Laurent series in $t^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for some positive *n*. When *K* is RCF, think of *t* as an infinitesimal.

Theorem (Newton, Puiseux)

If K is ACF_0 (or RCF), the $K\{t\}$ is ACF_0 (or RCF).

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

Main question

- Fix a countable (computable) ACF₀ K.
- A Puiseux series is a formal sum $s = \sum_{i \ge k} a_i t^{\frac{i}{n}}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $a_i \in K$.

For a polynomial $p(x) = A_n x^n + \cdots + A_1 x + A_0$ with $A_i \in K\{t\}$, how hard is it to find a root $r \in K\{t\}$?

Represent Puiseux series by $s: \omega \to K \times \mathbb{Q}$ such that if $s(m) = \langle a_m, q_m \rangle$, then s represents the series $\sum_{m \in \omega} a_m t^{q_m}$. We require that q_m increases with m and there is a uniform bound on the size of the denominators of the q_m terms. Note that the q_m terms are unbounded.

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ●

Represent Puiseux series by $s: \omega \to K \times \mathbb{Q}$ such that if $s(m) = \langle a_m, q_m \rangle$, then q_m increases with m and there is a uniform bound on the size of the denominators of the q_m terms.

- Addition and multiplication of Puiseux series are computable.
- Equality is Π⁰₁.
- Determining w(s) is in general Δ₂⁰, but there is a uniform computable procedure to find w(s) for any s ≠ 0.
- For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, determining whether $w(s) \ge q$ is computable.

Q: Given $A_0, \ldots, A_n \in K\{t\}$, how difficult is it to compute a root of

$$p(x) = A_0 + A_1 x + \dots + A_n x^n \quad ?$$

Answer 1. The classical algebraic geometry literature gives a uniform Δ_2^0 procedure that will construct a root by initial segments

$$a_0 t^{q_0} \ a_0 t^{q_0} + a_1 t^{q_1} \ a_0 t^{q_0} + a_1 t^{q_1} + a_2 t^{q_2}$$

with $q_0 < q_1 < q_2 < \cdots$ and each $a_i \neq 0$. Furthermore, if we reach a root at a finite stage, the procedure will terminate and declare the root complete. Any uniform procedure with this termination feature is Δ_2^0 hard, so the classical result is sharp in this sense.

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ● ●

Answer 2. We can do better if we drop uniformity and termination conditions.

Theorem (Knight, Lange and Solomon)

For any countable ACF_0 K and any nonconstant polynomial $p(x) = A_0 + \cdots + A_n x^n$ over $K\{t\}$, p(x) has a root computable from K and the coefficients A_0, \ldots, A_n .

In particular, the Newton-Puiseux theorem holds in every Turing ideal.

Conjecture

There is a uniform computable procedure that will produce roots for any nonconstant polynomial p(x) from K and the coefficients A_0, \ldots, A_n .

- This procedure will not have the termination property.
- There is no such uniform procedure which will also work on the constant polynomial p(x) = 0.

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

イロト 不得 とうせい かほとう ほ

Hahn fields

Let K be an ACF₀ (or RCF) and let G be a divisible ordered abelian group. The Hahn field K((G)) consists of formal sum

$$s = \sum_{g \in I} a_g t^g$$

where $I \subseteq G$ is well ordered and each $a_g \in K$.

- The support of s is $Supp(s) = \{g \in I : a_g \neq 0\}.$
- The *length* of *s* is the order type of *Supp*(*s*).
- The weight w(s) of s is the least $g \in I$ such that $a_g \neq 0$, and is ∞ if s = 0.

Theorem (Maclane)

$$K((G))$$
 is an ACF₀ (or an RCF if K is RCF).

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Given $p(x) = A_0 + A_1x + \cdots + A_nx^n$ over K((G)), how complicated are the roots of p(x)?

Theorem (Knight and Lange)

If each coefficient A_i has countable length α_i and γ is a limit ordinal such that $\alpha_i < \gamma$, then the roots of p(x) all have length less than $\omega^{\omega^{\gamma}}$.

- This result can be extended to uncountable ordinals.
- Maclane's theorem about Hahn fields holds in any admissible set.
- We would like a finer analysis of the complexity of roots.

(同) (日) (日) 日

We represent an element of K((G)) by a function $s: G \to K$ such that

$$Supp(s) = \{g \in G : s(g) \neq 0\}$$
 is well ordered.

- Addition is computable.
- Computing multiplication, equality and weights are all uniformly Δ_2^0 .

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・

Given $p(x) = A_0 + A_1x + \cdots + A_nx^n$ over K((G)), we want to uniformly produce initial segments $r_{\alpha} \in K((G))$ of a root r

$$r_{0} = 0$$

$$r_{1} = a_{1}t^{\nu_{1}}$$

$$r_{2} = a_{1}t^{\nu_{1}} + a_{2}t^{\nu_{2}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{\omega} = \sum_{n \in \omega} a_{n}t^{\nu_{n}}$$

$$r_{\omega+1} = r_{\omega} + a_{\omega}t^{\nu_{\omega}}$$

$$\vdots$$

Moreover, we would like to terminate the process when we complete the root. At stage $\alpha + 1$, either we declare r_{α} is a root, or we produce the next non-zero term in a root.

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

Let f be a function such that it is uniformly $\Delta_{f(\alpha)}^0$ to produce r_{α} .

Lemma (Knight, Lange and Solomon)

- For a limit ordinal α , $f(\alpha) = \sup_{\beta < \alpha} f(\beta) + 1$.
- For a successor β , write $\beta = \alpha + n$ with α a limit ordinal. Then $f(\beta) = f(\alpha) + 1$.
- r_0 is uniformly Δ_1^0
- r_1, r_2, \ldots are each uniformly Δ_2^0
- r_{ω} is uniformly Δ_3^0
- $r_{\omega+1}$, $r_{\omega+2}$,... are each uniformly Δ_4^0 .

Up to this point, we know the complexity results are sharp. Once we get to the Δ_5^0 bound on $r_{\omega+\omega}$, we do not know whether the bound is sharp, but we do know that our current methods will not work to show sharpness.

Thank you!

Partial results on the complexity of finding roots in Puiseux and Hahn fields Reed Solomon (with Julia Knight and Karen Lange)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ