# HAUSDORFF MEASURES AND TWO POINT SET EXTENSIONS

JAN J. DIJKSTRA, KENNETH KUNEN , AND JAN VAN MILL

ABSTRACT. which is the the condition-theory is the condition-theory is the conditions in the conditions is a set of the conditions of the cond a  $\sigma$ -compact partial two point contained in a two point set? We show that no reasonable measure or capacity (when applied to the set itself) can provide a sufficient condition for a compact partial two point set to be extendable to a two point set. On the other hand, we prove that under any compact particles and the matter particles in the point set such that the such that the such that th its square has Hausdorff 1-measure zero is extendable.

A planar set is called a two point set if every line intersects the set in exactly two points and a *partial two point set* if every line intersects the set in attendable two points-two points-set points-two points-set extended in a it is a subset of some two point set- The existence of two point sets is due to Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz - Mazurkiewicz set with the computer, come thanks to the statements is the state of the state is the standard example of a nonextendable partial two point set-

in a compact the compact whether the compact whether  $\alpha$  is a compact to the compact of the compact o zero-dimensional partial two point set can be extended to a two point set-t-benzo die two of two Mauldin in [7] that there exist partial two point Cantor sets that are not extendable to two point sets- In both papers the proof rests on the fact that the examples have positive linear Lebesgue measure- It is therefore natural to ask whether there exist nonextendable partial two point compacta with vanishing linear measure (or Hausdorff 1-measure zero- In addition what about Hausdor dimension zero or logarithmic capacity zero? In this paper the authors answer these questions by showing that no reasonable measure or capacity (when applied to the

as a cathematic Subject Classification and a series of the state of the series of the state of the series of t

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9704520.

set itself) can provide a sufficient condition for a compact partial two point set to be extended to a two point set-found set-found set-found set-found set-found to the found of the in section - In section we present sucient conditions for a partial two point set to be extendable- For example under Martins Axiom any  $\sigma$ -compact partial two point set such that its square has Hausdorff 1-measure zero is extendable.

We denote the space of nonempty compacta in a metric space X equipped with the usual Hausdorn metric by  $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$ . The space of proexponding the plane is the circle  $\Theta = \mathbf{R}/\pi\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $\theta \in \Theta$  then  $p_{\theta}$  is the projection of the plane onto the line through the origin that is perpendicular to  $\theta$ . Obviously, the function  $p : \Theta \times \mathbf{R}^- \to \mathbf{R}^-$  defined  $\alpha$  , and the proposition of the continuous mapping proposition is and the continuous and hence it generates and  $\alpha$ continuous map from  $\Theta \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{R}^-)$  to  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{R}^-)$ . If u is a nonzero vector in viously, the f<br>continuous :<br> $\times \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  to the plane then  $\varphi(u) \in \Theta$  stands for the direction parallel to u. If u and v are two distinct points in the plane then  $L(u, v)$  stands for the line the vertex is and v-c through up to prove the set the set of the s

$$
\mathfrak{L}(A) = \bigcup \{ L(u, v) : u, v \in A \text{ and } u \neq v \}.
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{B}(A) = \{ p(\varphi(u-v), u) : u, v \in A \text{ and } u \neq v \}.
$$

Observe that  $p(\varphi(u-v), u)$  is the point of intersection of  $L(u, v)$  with the line through the line through  $\mathcal{N}$  and the line that we have in that we have in that we have in that we have in terms of the dot product in  $\mathbf{n}$ -:

$$
p(\varphi(u-v),u) = \frac{((v-u)\cdot v)u + ((u-v)\cdot u)v}{|u-v|^2}.
$$

 $p(\varphi(u-v), u) =$   $|u-v|^2$ <br>If  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  then  $C_v$  stands for the circle that has the line segment

Lemma 2.1. If  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^-$  then  $\mathbf{R}^2$ <br> $\setminus 40$ 

. If 
$$
A \subset \mathbb{R}^2
$$
 then  
\n $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} : C_v \cap \mathfrak{B}(A) \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathfrak{L}(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $u \in C_v \cap \mathcal{B}(A)$  with  $u \neq 0$ . Then there exists a line  $\ell$  through  $\sim$  that is perpendicular to the line that intersects with  $\sim$  and  $\sim$  and  $\sim$   $\sim$ A in two distinct points  $a$  and  $b$ , see Figure 1.

### Figure

Since the angle at  $u$  is 50 we have by elementary planar geometry that  $\ell = L(a, b)$  intersects  $C_v$  at v.

Let  $S^+$  be the unit circle centered at the origin. If  $A\subseteq S^+$  then we simply nave  $\mathfrak{B}(A) = \{\frac{1}{2}(u+v): u, v \in A \text{ and } u \neq v\}.$ 

which will show the next section in the next see Proposition - ( ) then the non-monext section - ( ) is a section of tendable elements of  $\mathcal{N}(S^+)$  form a category I subset of  $\mathcal{N}(S^+)$ . So finding nonextendable elements in  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  is relatively hard because the set to choose from is small and standard Baire category arguments will not work- However our next result gives us a rich supply of measurements. compact subsets of  $S^{\pm}$ . Since the concept (partial) two point set is invariant under affine transformations the results we obtain for  $S^1$  apply to any ellipse.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every aense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{N}(S^+)$  contains two elements with a nonextendable union.

*Proof*. Let  $G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots$  be a sequence of dense open subsets of  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  and define  $G = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n$ . Let  $D^1 = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |u| \leq 1\}$  and  $D_0^+ = D^- \setminus \{0\}$ . Denne the continuous maps  $\alpha, \beta : D_0^- \to S^-$  as in Figure roof. Let  $G_0 \supset$ <br> $(S^1)$  and define<br> $\frac{1}{0} = D^1 \setminus \{0\}$ . De  $2.$ 

#### Figure 2

To be precise and are given by

$$
\alpha(u) = (x + ty, y - tx) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(u) = (x - ty, y + tx),
$$

 $\alpha(u) = (x + ty, y - tx)$  and  $\beta(u) = (x - ty, y + tx)$ ,<br>where  $u = (x, y) \in D_0^1$  and  $t = \sqrt{1 - |u|^2}/|u|$ . Then for each  $u \in D_0^1$  we have

$$
p(\varphi(\alpha(u)-\beta(u)),\alpha(u))=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha(u)+\beta(u))=u.
$$

The semicircles with diameter 1 in Figure 2 correspond to preimages of the form  $\alpha$  -(w) and  $\rho$  -(w) where  $w, w \in S$ . The building blocks of our construction will be segments of such semicircles-

We shall construct an element  $A$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  such that every circle the origin through the original diameter at least  $\alpha$  intersects by  $\alpha$  in a point  $\alpha$ that is not the origin. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have  $\{v \in \mathbf{R}^- : |v| \geq 0\}$  $\begin{array}{l} \text{every} \text{ circle} \ \text{in} \text{ a point} \ \text{if} \; |v| > 2 \} \subset \ \end{array}$  $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ . So if we add any point v with  $|v|\geq 2$  to A then the resulting set h the origin with diameter at least 2 intersects  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  in a point not the origin. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have  $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |v| \geq 2\} \subset$ <br>So if we add any point v with  $|v| > 2$  to A then the resulting set is no longer a partial two point set and hence A is not extendable to a two point set.

Let a be the arc length metric on  $S^-$ . Note that  $u \mapsto (\alpha(u), \beta(u))$  is an imbedding of  $D_0^+$  in the product  $S^+ \times S^+$ . This observation allows us to define a metric  $\rho$  on  $D_0^+$  by pulling back the max metric of  $S^+ \times S^+$ : for  $u, v \in D_0$ ,

$$
\rho(u,v)=\max\{d(\alpha(u),\alpha(v)),d(\beta(u),\beta(v))\}.
$$

Let  $a$  and  $p$  be the corresponding Hausdorn metrics on  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}^-)$  respectively  $\mathcal{N}(D_0)$ . If A is a subset of  $S^-$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $U_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda) = \{w \in S^- :$  $a(w, \Lambda) \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $w \in S^-$  then  $U_{\varepsilon}(w) = U_{\varepsilon}(\{w\})$ . If  $u, v \in S^-$  then  $|u, v|$ stands for the closed segment of  $S^-$  from  $u$  to  $v$  (counterclockwise ori $d(w, X) \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $w \in S^1$  then  $U_{\varepsilon}(w) = U_{\varepsilon}(\{w\})$ . If  $u, v \in S^1$  then  $[u, v]$  stands for the closed segment of  $S^1$  from  $u$  to  $v$  (counterclockwise orientation). If  $X \in \mathcal{K}(S^1)$  then  $\tilde{U}_{\varepsilon}(X) \subset \mathcal{K}(S^1$  $\omega \in S^1$  then<br>sed segment<br> $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  then around  $\Lambda$  with respect to the Hausdorn metric  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal C$  be the collection of all semicircles C with diameter at least 2 such that of the endpoints is the origin-the original construction  $\mathcal{C}$ a sequence  $B_0, B_1, \ldots$  in  $\mathcal{N}(D_0)$  and a sequence  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots$  or positive numbers such that

- $(1) \varepsilon_n \leq \varepsilon_{n-1}/2,$
- (2)  $\tilde{\rho}(B_n, B_{n-1}) \leq \varepsilon_{n-1}/2$
- (3)  $B_n$  intersects every element of  $\mathcal{C},$
- (4)  $U_{\varepsilon_n}(\alpha(D_n)) \subset \mathbf{U}_n$  if n is even,
- (a)  $U_{\varepsilon_n}(\rho(D_n)) \subset \mathbf{G}_n$  if n is odd,
- (b) If n is even then  $B_n$  is a nnite union of sets of the form  $\alpha^{-1}(p)$  if  $\varphi$  -([ $u, v$ ], where  $p, u, v \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- (*i*) if n is odd then  $B_n$  is a nnite union of sets of the form  $p^{-1}(p)$  (if  $\alpha$   $\lnot$   $(u, v_l)$ , where  $p, u, v \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

Let  $F$  be a 0-net in  $S^-$ , i.e. a ninte subset of  $S^-$  with  $a(F, S^-) \leq \theta$ . Consider the set  $\frac{1}{4} \leq |u| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ 

t  

$$
P_F = \alpha^{-1}(F) \cap \{ u \in D^1 : \frac{1}{4} \le |u| \le \frac{3}{4} \},\
$$

see Figure 3.

## Figure 3

We choose o so small that for any o-net F and any semicircle  $C \in C$  we  $\overline{a}$ have that PF and <sup>C</sup> intersect- This is possible since there exists a such that for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , the projection  $C = \alpha(\{u \in C : \frac{1}{4} \leq |u| \leq \frac{1}{4}\})$ is an interval of length at least - sets are dense intervals are dense in the sets are dense in the nite sets  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  we may select a *o*-net  $F \in G_0$ . We put  $B_0 \equiv F_F$  and we select an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $U \varepsilon_0(T)$  is contained in  $G_0$  and such that for any  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}(D_0^{\dagger}), \, \rho(\Lambda, D_0) \leq \varepsilon_0$  implies that  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $D_{\sigma} = \{w \in D_0\}$  $D^2$ :  $\frac{1}{8} \leq |w| \leq \frac{1}{8}$ . Consequently, every  $B_n$  will be a subset of D. The  $>0$  such that  $U_{\varepsilon_0}(F)$ <br>  $\mathcal{K}(D_0^1), \ \tilde{\rho}(X, B_0) \leq \varepsilon_0$ <br>  $\frac{1}{8} \leq |w| \leq \frac{7}{8}$ . Consequ applicable induction hypotheses are obviously satisfied.

 $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i$ the procedure for constructing Bn assuming that  $\alpha$  is even-that n is even-that n is even $p = 1, 1, 2, \ldots$  is simply the mirror image interchange  $p = 1, 2, \ldots$  interchange in the simply  $p = 1, 2, \ldots$ The set  $B_n$  is the union of the finite collection  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_k\}$ , where  $E_j = \alpha$   $(v_j) \cap p$   $(v_i, v_j)$  for some  $p_j, u_j, v_j \in S$ . Consider  $E_j$  and

pick a  $\theta \leq \varepsilon_n/4$ . We select a  $\gamma_j \leq \theta$  such that every limite  $F \subset S^-$  with  $a(F, \psi_{\delta}(|u_i, v_j|)) \leq \gamma_i$  has the property that

$$
Q_j(F) = \alpha^{-1}(U_{\delta}(p_j)) \cap \beta^{-1}(F)
$$
  
Let the integers  $F_{\delta}$  (see Figure 4)

intersects every  $C \in C$  that intersects  $E_j$  (see Figure 4).

## Figure 4

Let  $\gamma = \min{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k}$ . Select a nime set  $F \in G_{n+1}$  such that

$$
\tilde{d}\Big(F,\bigcup_{j=1}^k U_\delta([u_j,v_j])\Big)<\gamma.
$$

We define the compactum

$$
B_{n+1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} Q_j(F_j),
$$

where  $F_j = F \cup U_{\delta+\gamma}(u_j, v_j)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_{n+1} > 0$  be such that  $\varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n/2$ and  $U_{\varepsilon_{n+1}}(T) \subset \mathbf{G}_{n+1}$ .

Since every  $Q_i(F_i)$  is obviously a finite union of sets of the form  $\rho^{-1}(w) + \alpha^{-1}(U_{\delta}(\mathcal{P}))$  the set  $D_{n+1}$  satisfies condition (*t*). Condition (1) is trivially true. Note that  $\beta(Q_j(F_j)) = F_j$  and that  $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} F_j$ .  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf$  $a(F_i, U_{\delta}(|u_i, v_i|)) \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_i$  so if  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  intersects  $E_i$  then C intersects  $Q_i(\vec{r}_i)$  and  $D_{n+1}$ . By hypothesis, every  $C \in C$  intersects some  $E_i$  and hence is a more complete that is a second or the secon

$$
\tilde{d}(\{p_j\},U_\delta(p_j))=\delta\quad\text{and}\quad\tilde{d}([u_j,v_j],F_j)\leq\delta+\gamma\leq 2\delta.
$$

Since  $E_j$  and  $Q_j(F_j)$  are essentially Cartesian products of these sets and  $\tilde{\rho}$  corresponds to the product max metric it follows that  $\tilde{\rho}(E_j, Q_j(F_j)) \leq$  $2\theta \leq \varepsilon_n/2$  (see Figure 4). Consequently, we have  $\rho(B_n, B_{n+1}) \leq \varepsilon_n/2$ and the induction is complete.

Induction hypotheses (1) and (2) show that  $B_0, B_1, \ldots$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\rho$ . In addition they show that  $\rho(B_0, B_n) \leq \varepsilon_0$ for each  $n$  and hence we have a Cauchy sequence in the compact space  $\mathcal{N}(D)$ . Put  $\mathcal{K}(D')$  and  $A = \alpha(B) \cup \beta(B)$  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$ .

$$
B = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n \in \mathcal{K}(D') \quad \text{and} \quad A = \alpha(B) \cup \beta(B) \in \mathcal{K}(S^1).
$$

Obviously, we have  $D \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Since every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  intersects every  $D_n$  we have by compactness that B intersects every  $C \in C$ . So  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  intersects int<br>C. every element of <sup>C</sup> in a point other than the origin- As argued above this result implies that  $A$  is not extendable.

Let *n* be even and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that

$$
\tilde{d}(\alpha(B_n), \alpha(B_{n+k})) \leq \tilde{\rho}(B_n, B_{n+k}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i 2^{-i} \leq \varepsilon_n.
$$

 $\infty$   $\alpha(B_{n+k}) \in U_{\varepsilon_n}(\alpha(B_n))$  and  $\alpha(B) = \min_{k \to \infty} \alpha(B_{n+k}) \in U_{\varepsilon_n}(B_n)$ . By condition (4) we have  $\alpha(B) \in G_n$  and hence  $\alpha(B) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{2n} = G$ . The same argument for *n* odd yields  $p(B) \in G$ .

Theorem - is sharp in the sense that there exist dense G- subsets of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  consisting entirely of extendable elements (see Proposition 3.7).

A realvalued function is called upper semicontinuous if the preimage of every interval of the form  $(-\infty, t)$  is open. We call a function  $\gamma$  :  $\mathcal{N}(A) \to [0, \infty]$  null-subadditive if  $\gamma(A) = \gamma(B) = 0$  implies  $\gamma(A \cup B) =$ - Measures and capacities satisfy these conditions-

**I** Heorem **4.5.** If  $\gamma : \mathcal{N}(S^-) \to [0,\infty]$  is a null-subadditive and upper semicontinuous function that vanishes on nite sets then there exists a nonextendable  $C \in \mathcal{L}(S^-)$  with  $\gamma(C) \equiv 0$ .  $\gamma\,:\,\mathcal{K}(S^1)\,\,-\atop {\cal K}(S^1)\,\, with$ 

*Proof.* The set  $\gamma$   $^{-}(0)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{N}(S^{-})$  that is closed under unions.

Note that instead of upper semicontinuity it suffices to know that sets of the form  $\gamma$  -  $(|0,\varepsilon)|$  are neighbourhoods of sets with  $\gamma$  equal to zero.

**Corollary 2.4.** There exists a nonextendable element of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  with linear Lebesque measure zero.

It is well known that capacities satisfy the premise of Theorem --The most interesting capacity in the plane is the logarithmic or Newto nian capacity see e-g- -

**Corollary 2.5.** There exists a nonextendable element of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  with logarithmic capacity zero.

Let us have a look at Hausdorn type measures. For every  $n: [0, t_0] \rightarrow$  $[0, \infty)$  we denne the *n-measure m<sub>h</sub>* of a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by

$$
m_h(X) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\text{diam}(A_i)) : \{ A_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ is a covering} \right\}
$$

in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of X with sets of diameter at most  $\varepsilon$ .

 $\mathbf{r}$  is assumed to be equipped with the metric that is generated by the  $\blacksquare$ standard norm  $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$ . If  $s > 0$  then the Hausdorff s-measure  $\mathcal{H}^s$ equals  $m_h$ , where  $n(t) \equiv t$  . Recall that the *Hausdorff* amension of  $\Lambda$ is defined by

$$
\dim_{\rm H}(X)=\inf\{s:\mathcal H^s(X)=0\}.
$$

Since a set with vanishing logarithmic capacity has Hausdorff dimension zero see Theorem - we have

**Corollary 2.0.** There exists a nonextendable element of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  with Hausdorff dimension zero.

Note that if  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  has  $\dim_H(A) = 0$  then  $\dim_H(A \times \mathcal{S}^*) = 1$ and hence  $\dim_H(A \times A) \leq 1$ . So we have the following result whose significance will become apparent in the next section. significance will become apparent in the next section.<br> **Corollary 2.7.** There exists a nonextendable  $A \in \mathcal{K}(S^1)$  with  $\dim_H(A \times$ 

 $A) \leq 1$ .

 $\sim$  0.000  $\sim$  . The follows in the substitute here is the substitute of  $\sim$  0.000  $\sim$  1.000  $\sim$  1.000  $\sim$ following general statement about  $h$ -measures. following general statement about *h*-measures.<br> **Corollary 2.8.** There exists a nonextendable  $C \in K(S^1)$  with  $m_h(C) =$ 

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$  is the countable that is contable to the countable three counts is contable to the countable-transition of the countable-transition of the countries of the countries of the countries of the countries of the count partial two point set with cardinality  $\lt c$  is extendable.

Assume that  $\liminf_{t\searrow 0} n(t) = 0$ . Let for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $O_n$  be the interior in  $\mathcal{N}(S^*)$  of the collection

erfor in  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  of the conection<br>  $\left\{C \in \mathcal{K}(S^1): \text{there is a covering }\{A_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}\text{ in }\mathbf{R}^2\text{ of }C\right\}$ with sets of diameter at most  $t_0/n$ 

such that 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\text{diam}(A_i)) \leq 1/n
$$
.

Obviously, every element of  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$  has  $m_h$  equal to 0. Let  $F \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}^-)$  be a nittle set with m points and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Select an  $\varepsilon > 0$  $\begin{array}{l} \text{(ously, every)} \\ \mathcal{K}(S^1) \text{ be a} \end{array}$ such that  $\varepsilon \leq t_0/n$  and  $n(\varepsilon) \leq (mn)$  . Let  $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^+)$  be a set whose  $m_h$  equal t<br>  $\in \mathbb{N}$ . Select<br>  $\mathcal{K}(S^1)$  be a distance towards F in the Hausdor metric is less than - Then C can be covered by intervals  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  each with diameter equal to  $\varepsilon$ . Consequently

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} h(\text{diam}(A_i)) = mh(\varepsilon) \le \frac{1}{n}
$$

and we may conclude that  $F ~\in~ O_n.$  So G is a  $G_\delta$  in  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  which contains all nite sets and Theorem - all in the planets are the company seen that  $\sim$ If  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_{2n}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . This implies that G is closed under unions.

corollary - allows use the corollary - which corollary - all corollary - allows -  $\sim$ if we substitute  $h(t) = t/\log(1/t)$  in Coronary 2.6 anows us to improve upon Coronary 2.7 which ionows<br>if we substitute  $h(t) = t/\log(1/t)$  in<br>**Proposition 2.9.** If every  $A \in \mathcal{K}(S^1)$  with  $m_h(A \times A) = 0$  is extendable

then the following two equivalent statements are valid:

- (1)  $m_h(\Lambda) = 0$  unplies  $H^*(\Lambda) = 0$  for every  $\Lambda$ ,
- $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of the term in the te

 $\mathbf{F}$  . The equivalence of  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$ (2) is valid. I nen there are  $\varepsilon, \theta > 0$  such that  $h(t) \geq \theta t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ . Consequently,  $m_h(\Lambda) \geq \theta \mathcal{H}^-(\Lambda)$  for any  $\Lambda$  which implies statement (1). considered to the case in the case  $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{n-1}\}$  , which is the constant of the case of the of positive numbers less than  $\mathcal{U}$  and the such that limited that limited that limited  $\mathcal{U}$  $\lim_{i\to\infty} h(t_i)/t_i=0$ . If I is the unit interval  $[0,1]$  then  $\mathcal{H}^*(I)=1$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $n_i = \lfloor 1/t_i \rfloor$  and write I as a union of a collection  $\mathcal{D}_i$ consisting of  $\alpha$  intervals each with length experimental to time to time to time to time to time to time to

$$
m_h(I) \le \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} h(\text{diam}(B)) = \lim_{i \to \infty} n_i h(t_i)
$$
  

$$
\le \lim_{i \to \infty} (1 + t_i) \frac{h(t_i)}{t_i} = 0.
$$

Assume now that  $\liminf_{t\searrow 0} h(t)/t=0$ . We define  $h(t)=h(t)/t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$  and  $n(y) = 0$  and we apply Corollary 2.8 to  $m_{h'}$  yielding a nonextendable  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  with  $m_{h'}(A) = 0$ . We will prove that  $m_h(A \times A)$  = 0 which violates the premise of the proposition. Let  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \min\{t_0, 1\}$  and select a collection  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  of subsets of  $S^$ such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ ,  $\text{diam}(A_i) < \varepsilon$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h'(\text{diam}(A_i)) \leq \varepsilon$ . Put  $a_i = \text{diam}(A_i)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\min \text{im}_{t \searrow 0}$   $h(t) = 0$  we may assume that every in positive-that  $\equiv$  that is the number  $\equiv$   $\cdots$  in the number  $\equiv$   $\cdots$   $\psi$  $[2\pi\sqrt{2}/a_i]$ . Partition  $S^1$  into  $n_i$  sets  $D_i^1, \ldots, D_i^{n_i}$  each with diameter no greater than  $a_i/\sqrt{2}$ . Write every  $A_i$  as a union of two sets  $B_i$  and  $C_i$ with diameter at most  $a_i/\sqrt{2}$ . Note that in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  diam $(B_i \times D_i^j) \leq a_i$  and find a  $B_i^i$  such that  $B_i \times D_i^i \subset B_i^i$  and diam $(B_i^i)=a_i$ . Analogously, let  $C_i \times D_i^2 \subset C_i^2$  and diam $(C_i^2) = a_i$ .

Note that

$$
\mathcal{D} = \{ \tilde{B}_i^j : i \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \le j \le n_i \} \cup \{ \tilde{C}_i^j : i \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \le j \le n_i \}
$$

is a countable covering of  $A \times A$  with sets of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . Consider the sum

$$
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} h(\text{diam}(D)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (h(\text{diam}(\tilde{B}_i^j)) + h(\text{diam}(\tilde{C}_i^j))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2a_i h'(a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2n_i a_i h'(a_i)
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2(2\pi\sqrt{2} + a_i) h'(a_i) \leq 2(2\pi\sqrt{2} + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h'(a_i)
$$
  

$$
\leq 2(2\pi\sqrt{2} + 1)\varepsilon.
$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  can be chosen arbitrarily small,  $m_h(A \times A) = 0$  and the proposition is proved.

 $\mathcal{L}$  following proposition improves upon  $\mathcal{L}$  , we are the architecture of the architecture of the architecture of  $\mathcal{L}$ rengun measure on 5<sup>-</sup>.

**Proposition 2.10.** If A is a measurable and extendable subset of  $\beta$ then A -

*Proof.* Let A be a subset of  $S^- \sqcup B$  where  $\sigma(A) > 0$  and B is a two point set. According to  $\left|11, 7.12\right|$  almost every  $u \in A$  has the property

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\sigma(A \cap U_{\varepsilon}(u))}{\sigma(U_{\varepsilon}(u))} = 1.
$$

Let u be such a Lebesgue point and let  $\ell$  be the tangent line to  $S^1$  at u. Since B is a two point set we can find a  $v \in B \cap \ell$  such that  $v \neq u$ . The two tangent lines to  $S$  -through v divide the circle into two open segments  $E$  and  $F$  as in Figure 5.

## Figure 5

Let p be an element of E and let  $f: E \to F$  be the radial projection centered at v. Note that f is a contraction so  $\sigma(T(C)) \leq \sigma(C)$  for any measurable  $C \subset E$ . (Since a line has the same angle of intersection with a circle at both points the contraction factor of  $f$  at  $p$  is simply the ratio  $\frac{p(y)}{|p-y|}$ .) Consequently, we have

$$
\lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([u, p])}{\sigma([f(p), u])} = \lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([u, p])}{\int_u^p \frac{|f(q) - v|}{|q - v|} d\sigma(q)} = \lim_{p \to u} \frac{|p - v|}{|f(p) - v|} = 1
$$

and

$$
\lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([f(p), u] \setminus f(A \cap E))}{\sigma([u, p])} \le \lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([u, p] \setminus A)}{\sigma([u, p])} = 0.
$$

Note that

$$
\lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([f(p), u] \setminus (A \cap f(A \cap E)))}{\sigma([f(p), u])} \le \lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([f(p), u] \setminus A)}{\sigma([f(p), u])} + \lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([f(p), u] \setminus f(A \cap E))}{\sigma([u, p])} \cdot \lim_{p \to u} \frac{\sigma([u, p])}{\sigma([f(p), u])} = 0.
$$

This result obviously implies that  $\sigma(A \sqcup I)$   $(A \sqcup E)$   $) \geq 0$  and we can choose  $a \ p \in A \sqcup E$  such that  $f(p) \in A$ . Then  $p$ ,  $f(p)$ , and v are three collinear elements of the two point set  $B$  and we have arrived at the contradiction that proves the proposition-

The following observation is based on a suggestion by W- Rudin-

Proposition - If C is a particle point set with the point  $\mathcal{C}$ every dense G-U is not below the construction of C is not constructed about the C is not constructed about the

Proof- Let C be a partial two point set with dimC - According to Kulesza <sup>1</sup>0, Theorem I C contains an arc so let  $\alpha : I \to C$  be an imbedding- Kulesza also shows in the proof of Lemma that there is a line  $\ell$  that meets  $C$  in a single point  $u \in \alpha$ ((0, 1)) such that the arc  $\alpha$ (1) is contained in one of the half planes determined by  $\ell$ . Let  $r \in (0,1)$  $\sim$  1 is a dense G-U  $\sim$ is contained in some two point set  $B$ .

There exists a point  $v \in B \cup \ell$  which is distinct from u. By connected- $\mathbf{r}$  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  and arc on the colline that we obtain the collinear-collinear collinear-collinear-collinear- $\mathcal{L}$  , connectivity and the fact that the fact  $\mathcal{L}$  , we note that the point set we note that  $\mathcal{L}$ that for every  $p \in \alpha$ ( $|0, r|$ ) the line through p and v intersects the arc r in precisely one point which we denote by formulation  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  $\tau : \alpha(\vert 0, r \vert) \rightarrow \alpha(\vert r, 1 \vert)$  is a nomeomorphism. Since C is a partial two point set every line through v and an arbitrary point  $p \in \alpha((0, r))$  intere is the points problem of the points problem in the points problem in the points problem in the consequent of  $F = \alpha((r, 1))$  are open subsets of  $C$  and hence  $E \sqcup A$  and  $F \sqcup A$  are dense G<sub> $\delta$ </sub>-subsets of E respectively F. So we have that  $E \sqcup A \sqcup J^{-1}(F \sqcup A)$ is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in E and we may select a  $p \in E \sqcup A$  such that  $f(p) \in A$ . Then p,  $f(p)$ , and v are three collinear elements of the two point set B. a contradiction.

 $\mathbf{f}$  following generalization of Proposition -  $\mathbf{f}$ 

**Conjecture.** Every  $\sigma$ -compact subset of a two point set has Hausdorff  $1-measure$  zero.

We will find in the next section that the existence of extendable elements of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  with positive Hausdorff dimension and capacity is consistent with ZFC.

than the continuum hypothesis and it implies that no compact metric space can be written as the union of less than  $c = 2^{\omega}$  nowhere dense subsets-defining the more information on  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{A})$  see e-

We call a partial two point set A a pre-2-point set if every line  $\ell$  in the plane such that  $\ell \setminus \mathfrak{L}(A)$  is nowhere dense in  $\ell$  intersects A in two points.  $A$ cording two point set is zerodimensional-two point set is zero. The set is zero dimensional-two point set is zero shows in each interval two point set  $\mathbf{F}$  is a partial two point set with dimensions  $\mathbf{F}$ there is a line  $\ell \subset \mathfrak{L}(A)$  that intersects A in only one point (see the proves the Lemma in also proves the this result also proves the theory also proves the contract and  $\mu$ pre-2-point set is zero-dimensional.

**Theorem 3.1.** (MA) Every  $\sigma$ -compact pre-2-point set is extendable.

Proof- Let A be a compact prepoint set- Consider the com pactum  $E = \{(u, v) \in A \times A : u \neq v\} \times \mathbf{R}$  and the map  $g : E \to \mathbf{R}^2$  $A \times A : u \neq v$   $\} \times \mathbf{R}$  and the map  $q : E \to \mathbf{R}^2$ definition by  $q(u, v, t) = u + t(v - u)$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = q(E)$  and hence the set is  $\sigma$ -compact.

Let  $\{\ell_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}\,$  enumerate the lines in the plane. We shall construct by transfinite induction a nondecreasing sequence  $(C_{\alpha})_{\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}}$  of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^- \setminus A$  with induction hypotheses:

- (1)  $|U_{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$ ,
- (2)  $A \cup C_{\alpha}$  is a partial two point set.

Put  $C_0 = \emptyset$  and if  $\lambda \leq \mathfrak{c}$  is a limit ordinal then  $C_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} C_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\alpha$ be a xed ordinal distribution ordinal  $\sim$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ that ( $A\cup C_\alpha$ ) i  $\iota_\alpha$  contains at most one point. Let I be a nondegenerate compact interval in  $\ell_\alpha$  such that  $I\ \subset\ \mathrm{Cl}(\ell_\alpha\ \setminus\ \Sigma(A))$ . Note that since  $I \cap \mathfrak{L}(A)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact it is a category I set in I. at  $I \subset cl(\ell_{\alpha} \setminus \mathfrak{L}(A))$ . Note that since<br>is egory I set in  $I$ .<br> $\ell_{\alpha}$  then we put  $B_u = \{u\} \cap I$ ; otherwise

Let u be a point in  $\cup_\alpha$ . If  $u\in\ell_\alpha$  then we define

$$
B_u = \{ v \in I : L(u, v) \cap A \neq \emptyset \}.
$$

Let  $u \notin \ell_{\alpha}$  and note that  $D = \{ \exists \{L(u,v) : v \in I \} \text{ is closed. Since } A \text{ is }$ a zero-dimensional  $\sigma$ -compactum,  $D \cap A$  can be written as a countable union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$  of zero-dimensional compacta.  $\in I$  is closed. Since A is<br>be written as a countable<br>Let  $f : D \setminus \{u\} \to I$  be the

12 JAN J. DIJKSTRA, KENNETH KUNEN<sup>7</sup>, AND JAN VAN MILL<br>projection defined by  $\{f(z)\} = L(u, z) \cap I$ . Since  $D_i \cup \{u\}$  is a subset of  $A\cup C_\alpha$  it is also a partial two point set and  $f|D_i$  is one-to-one and hence an imbedding. Consequently,  $B_u = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(D_i)$  is a countable union of zero-dimensional compacta. So  $B_u$  is of category I in T for each  $u\in \mathrm{C}_\alpha.$ 

Consider now two distinct points u and v in C- Since u and v do not both lie on  $\ell_{\alpha}$  the set  $B_{uv} = L(u, v) \cap I$  contains at most one point. Note that

$$
\mathcal{D} = \{I \cap \mathfrak{L}(A)\} \cup \{B_u : u \in C_\alpha\} \cup \{B_{uv} : u, v \in C_\alpha \text{ and } u \neq v\}
$$
  
is a collection of category I subsets of I with  $|\mathcal{D}| < |\alpha| + \omega < \mathfrak{c}$  and that

 $\bigcup \mathcal{D} = I \cap \mathfrak{L}(A \cup C_{\alpha})$ . Martin's Axiom implies that  $I \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{D}$  is dense in I and we can select two distinct points  $a$  and  $b$  in that dense set.

Since  $A \cup C_{\alpha}$  is a partial two point set we may define<br>  $\int C_{\alpha} \cup \{a, b\}, \text{ if } |(A \cup C_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| = 0$ 

$$
C_{\alpha+1} = \begin{cases} C_{\alpha} \cup \{a, b\}, & \text{if } |(A \cup C_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| = 0 \\ C_{\alpha} \cup \{a\}, & \text{if } |(A \cup C_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| = 1 \\ C_{\alpha}, & \text{if } |(A \cup C_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| = 2. \end{cases}
$$

By the construction it is obvious that  $|C_{\alpha+1}| \leq |\alpha+1| + \omega$  and that  $A \cup C_{\alpha+1}$  is a partial two point set which intersects  $\ell_{\alpha}$  in two points. Then  $A \cup C_{\mathfrak{c}}$  is a two point set.

Theorem - MA Any compact partial two point set A with  $\pi$  (20(A)) = 0 is extendable.

Proof. First we show that A is zero-dimensional. Assume that  $\dim(A) \geq$ 1. Select a  $u \in A$  such that  $u \neq 0$ . The  $\hbox{dimensional. Assume that $\dim(A)\geq$ $\Gamma$, then $A\setminus\{u\}$ is a $\sigma$-compactum with $n$}.$  $\dim \geq 1$  and nence it contains a compactum B with  $\dim(B) \geq 1$ . Select a nontrivial continuum  $C \subset B$ . Define the continuous map  $h: C \to \mathbf{R}^$ by  $h(v) = p(\varphi(v - u), v)$ . So  $h(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuum in  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  and hence diam $\{n(C) \}$   $\leq$   $\mathcal{H}^-(n(C))$   $\leq$   $\mathcal{H}^-(\mathcal{D}(A))$  = 0. Let v and w be distinct elements of C and note that  $n(v) = n(w) \in L(u, v) \sqcup L(u, w)$ . Since A is a partial two point set  $u, v$ , and  $w$  are not collinear which implies that  $L(u, v) \sqcup L(u, w) = \{u\}$ . So  $u = h(v) = h(w)$  which means since  $u \neq 0$ that  $v$  and  $w$  lie on the line through  $u$  that is perpendicular to the line through and u- So u v and <sup>w</sup> are collinear <sup>a</sup> contradiction-

In order to show that A is a pre-2-point set let  $\ell$  be a line that intersects A in less than two points. We shall prove that  $\mathcal{L}(A) \sqcup \ell$  is of category I is a function  $\alpha$  is the xyaxes we can arrange that  $\alpha$  is the second  $\alpha$ Define a function furthermorphic  $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^+ : y \neq 0\}$  to by  $f(x, y) =$  $(a, (x^2 + y^2 - ax)/y)$ . Note that if u is a vector from D then u and  $f(u) = u$  are perpendicular. Since A is *0*-compact  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}(A) \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ 

are  $\sigma$ -compact. Write  $P = \mathfrak{B}(A) \cap D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$  where the  $P_i$ 's are compact. Since f is analytic the maps  $f | P_i$  are Lipschitz and we have  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(J(F_i)) = 0$  because  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(F_i) \leq \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathfrak{D}(A)) = 0$ . Consequently,  $J(F)$ is a countable union of zero-dimensional compacta and hence it is a category I set in  $\ell$ .

Consider the case  $a = 0$ , i.e.  $\ell$  is the y-axis. Let  $u \neq 0$  be an element of  $\mathcal{L}(A) \cup \ell$ . There are v and w in A such that  $u \in L(v, w)$ . Since  $\ell$ intersects A in at most one point we know that Lu v is not vertical-luminosity is not vertical-luminosity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ Note that  $z = p(\varphi(v - w), v)$  is an element of P such that  $f(z) = u$ . We may conclude that  $\mathcal{L}(A) \cup \ell$  is a subset of  $\{0\} \cup I(P)$  and hence it is a  $\frac{\text{hat}}{P}$  s category I set in  $\ell$ .

Assume now that  $a \neq 0$ . Consider the zero-dimensional  $\sigma$ -compactum category I set in  $\ell$ .<br>Assume now that  $a \neq 0$ .<br> $A' = A \cap (D \cup \{0\})$ . Defin g- Dene the set

$$
R = \{(u, v) \in A' \times A' : u, v, 0 \text{ collinear and } u \neq v\}.
$$

Since R is the intersection of an open and a closed subset of  $A' \times A'$ it is also zerodimensional and compact- Since <sup>R</sup> is disjoint from the diagonal of  $A' \times A'$  we can write R as a countable union of compacta  $R_1, R_2, \ldots$ , where  $(u, v) \in R_i$  implies  $(v, u) \notin R_i$ . Define the continuous map  $q: \kappa \to \ell$  by  $\{q(u,v)\}\equiv L(u,v) + \ell$ . Let  $(u,v)$  and  $(w,z)$  be  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a such that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a such that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a substitution of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ have the origin and guide-dimensional society in common so the  $\alpha$  is a isomorphism of  $\alpha$ partial two point set we have  $\{u, v\} = \{w, z\}$  and hence  $(u, v) = (w, z)$ . Consequently,  $g|R_i$  is one-to-one and an imbedding. This implies that  $g(R)$  is a countable union of zero-dimensional compacta and hence a  $\mathbf{A}$  set in  $\mathbf{A}$  and w be elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  such that Lucius of A such that Lu contains some  $u \in \ell$ . If  $L(v, w)$  does not go through the origin then by the same argument as given above we have  $u \in I(P)$ . If  $L(v, w)$  does contain the origin then  $(v, w) \in R$  and  $q(v, w) = u$ . So we may conclude that  $\mathfrak{L}(A) \cap \ell \subset f(P) \cup g(R)$  and hence it is a category I set in  $\ell$ .

According to section 2 there exist nonextendable compact partial two point sets with Hausdorff 1-measure zero (or even with Hausdorff dimension zero- On the other hand we have

**Theorem 3.3.** (MA) Any  $\sigma$ -compact partial two point set A with  $\pi(A \times A) = 0$  is extendable.

*Proof*. We assume that  $A \times A$  is equipped with the standard metric it inherits from  $\mathbf{R}^*$ . Let  $\Delta = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^* \times \mathbf{R}^* : u = v\}$  and define the map  $\tau : \mathbf{R}^- \setminus \Delta \to \mathbf{R}^-$  by  $\tau(u, v) = p(\varphi(u - v), u)$  for  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^-$ ,  $u \neq v$ . Obviously,  $D = A \times A \setminus \Delta$  can be written as a countable union of compacta  $D_1, D_2, \ldots$  since f is analytic f  $D_i$  is Lipschitz and we may

14 JAN J. DIJKSTRA, KENNETH KUNEN<sup>8</sup>, AND JAN VAN MILL<br>conclude that  $\mathcal{H}^1(f(B_i)) = 0$  because  $\mathcal{H}^1(B_i) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(A \times A) = 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $\sigma$ -additivity of Hausdorn measures we have  $\pi^-(\mathfrak{B}(A)) =$  $\mathcal{H}^1(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(B_i)) = 0$  and Theorem 3.2 applies.

According to Corollary - there exist nonextendabele elements A of  $\mathcal{N}(S^+)$  such that dim<sub>H</sub> $(A \times A) \leq 1$ . So Theorem 5.5 is snarp in the sense that we cannot replace the condition  $\pi^+(A \times A) \equiv 0$  by vs  $\geq$  $A, H^-(A \times A) = 0$ . In fact, the following result, which combines Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 2.9, snows that  $\mathcal{H}^-$  is the optimum choice among the  $h$ -measures.

Theorem MA If h is an arbitrary function from t into  $\{0,\infty\}$  then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Every  $\sigma$ -compact partial two point set A with  $m_h(A \times A) \equiv 0$  is extendable  $\begin{aligned} \textit{implies } \mathcal{H}^1 \ \mathcal{K}(S^1) \textit{ with } \end{aligned}$
- (2)  $m_h(\Lambda) = 0$  implies  $H^{-1}(\Lambda) = 0$  for every  $\Lambda$ ,
- (5) Every  $A \in \mathcal{L}(S^+)$  with  $m_h(A \times A) = 0$  is extendable,
- $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of the term in the te

Note that  $H^-(A \times A)$  is an upper semicontinuous function of  $A$  that vanishes on nite sets- Consequently the nullsubadditivity condition

**Corollary 3.5.** (MA) If X is any partial two point set then there exists a aense  $\mathsf{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{N}(\Lambda)$  consisting entirely of extendable sets.

So the nonextendable sets in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  form merely a category I set.

We now describe a standard procedure for constructing a Cantor set C- Let C be <sup>a</sup> continuum- As step we replace C by n disjoint subcontinua  $C_1^*, \ldots, C_1^{**}$ . In general, for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we replace every  $C_{i-1}^*$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n_1 \cdots n_{i-1}$ , by  $n_i$  disjoint subcontinua. This produces a set subcontinua  $C_1^1, \ldots, C_1^{n_1}$ . In general, for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we rep<br>for  $1 \leq j \leq n_1 \cdots n_{i-1}$ , by  $n_i$  disjoint subcontinua. Th<br> $C_i = C_i^1 \cup \cdots \cup C_i^k$ , where  $k = n_1 \cdots n_i$ . Define

$$
d_i = \max\{\mathrm{diam}(C_i^j): 1 \leq j \leq n_1 \cdots n_i\}
$$

and  $r_i = d_i/d_{i-1}$ . C is then the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$ .

Corollary 3.6. (MA) Let  $C$  be a planar Cantor set as constructed above. If C is a partial two point set such that  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i^2 r_i = 0$  then  $C$  is extendable.

Proof- Consider the covering

$$
\mathcal{B}_i = \{C^j_i \times C^k_i : 1 \leq j,k \leq n_1 \cdots n_i\}
$$

of C  $\times$  C  $\ldots$  Let  $a_0 = \text{diam}(C_0)$  and let mesh $\left(\mathcal{D}_i\right) = \max\{\text{diam}(D_i): D \in$  $D_i$ . We have

$$
\lim_{i \to \infty} \text{mesh}(\mathcal{B}_i) \le \lim_{i \to \infty} \sqrt{2} d_0 r_1 \cdots r_i \le \sqrt{2} d_0 \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i^2 r_i = 0.
$$

In addition,

$$
\lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} \text{diam}(B) \le \lim_{i \to \infty} |\mathcal{B}_i| \operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{B}_i) \le \lim_{i \to \infty} (n_1 \cdots n_i)^2 \sqrt{2} d_0 r_i \cdots r_i
$$

$$
= \sqrt{2} d_0 \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i^2 r_i = 0.
$$

Consequently,  $H^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) = 0$  and we may apply Theorem 5.5.

For instance, if we start with an interval in  $S^1$  and we obtain  $C_{i+1}$ from  $C_i$  by deleting the middle three fifth of every interval of  $C_i$  then  $n_i = 2$  and  $r_i = 1/5$ . Consequently,  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i^2 r_i = (4/5)^{\infty} = 0$  and the resulting  $\mathbf{C}$  is extending  $\mathbf{C}$  two point sets is extending to a two point setone may verify that the set has positive Hausdorff dimension and hence positive logarithmic capacity-

Corollary - gives us many Cantor sets that are extendable to two point sets provided that Martin's Axiom is valid, suggesting the following question: is the existence of a two point set that contains a Cantor set provable in ZFC: Noting that every dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(S^-)$  contains Cantor sets we have an affirmative answer in the following result.

**Proposition 5.1.** There exists a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  consisting entirely of extendable sets.

We need some definitions (cf.  $\vert 0, g$ VII.I $\vert$ ) and two technical lemmas. If  $A$  is a subset of a field then the subfield generated by  $A$  is denoted by and a subset a subset of a subset of a contract independent if  $\alpha$  independent if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  $x \in A$  is transcendental over the neid  $Q(A \setminus \{x\})$ . A *transcendence base* is a subset of a field then the subfield generated by<br>(1). A subset A of a field is called *algebraically inde*<br>A is transcendental over the field  $\mathbf{Q}(A \setminus \{x\})$ . A tra A for a field  $F$  is an algebraically independent subset such that  $F$  is algebraic in QA- The transcendence degree of a eld F over a subset A equals min $\{|B| : B \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is algebraic in } \mathbf{Q}(A \cup B)\}.$ 

Lemma If X and Y are spaces F is a closed subset of X O is an open subset of  $Y$  . and  $Y$  is  $\rightarrow$   $Y$  is continuous then the set  $O = \{A \in \mathcal{N}(A) : I(A)$ **.8.** If X and Y are spaces, F is a closed subset of Y, and  $f : F \to Y$  is continu<br> $\mathcal{K}(X) : f(K \cap F) \subset O$  is open in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

*Proof*. The set  $\overline{f}$   $\overline{f}(O)$  is open in F and hence  $\overline{f}$   $\overline{f}(O) \cup (\Lambda \setminus F)$  is open in  $\Lambda$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O} = {\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}(\Lambda) : \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}) \cup (\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{I})}$  and hence  $\subset$  Of is open in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .<br>
En in F and hence  $f^{-1}(O)$ <br>  $\mathcal{K}(X) : K \subset f^{-1}(O) \cup (X)$  $\cup$  is obviously open.  $\cup$ 

**Lemma 3.9.** If D is an algebraically independent compact subset of  $\bf{R}$ such that R has innite transcendence degree over D the R has in the D theory D theory D the D theory D theory For an algebraically independent compact sabolities of  $\mathbf{R}$ <br> $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : D \cup C$  is algebraically independent

$$
\mathcal{G}_D = \{ C \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : D \cup C \text{ is algebraically independent} \}
$$

is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R})$ .

 $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma$  be such a subset of  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma$ tion of all nonzero polynomials in  $n$  variables with integral coefficients. Let  $\varDelta_n$  be the closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  that consists of all points with at least two identical coordinates. Define for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following set of compacta  $K(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : 0 \notin p_{m,n}$ 

$$
G_{mn} = \{ C \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : 0 \notin p_{mn}((D \cup C)^n \setminus \Delta_n) \}.
$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}_D = \bigcap \{G_{mn} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\.$  Write  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta_n$  as a countable union of compacts  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$  Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  the set<br>  $U_i = \{ C \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : 0 \notin p_{mn}((D \cup C)^n \cap K_i) \}.$  $i \in I$  the set

$$
U_i = \{ C \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R} \setminus D) : 0 \notin p_{mn}((D \cup C)^n \cap K_i) \}.
$$

According to Lemma 3.8 every  $U_i$  is open in  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R})$ . Since  $G_{mn} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_i$ it is a  $G_\delta$ -set and hence  $\mathcal{G}_D$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set as well.

Let  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  be a nifice set in  $\bf R$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We define by induction sets  $C_0, \ldots, C_n$  with  $|C_i| = i$ . Put  $C_0 = \emptyset$  and let  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\bf R$  has innitive transcendence degree over  $D$  there exists an  $a \in \bf R$ that is transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}(D \cup C_i)$ . Consequently, every nonzero element of the dense set  $\mathbf Q a$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf Q(D\cup \mathbf C_i)$  and we can that is transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}(D \cup C_i)$ . Consequently, every nonzero<br>element of the dense set  $\mathbf{Q}a$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}(D \cup C_i)$  and we can<br>select a  $y_{i+1} \in \mathbf{Q}a \setminus \{0\}$  that is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $x_{i+1}$ ndental over<br>lense set  $\mathbf{Q}a$ <br> $\mathbf{Q}a \setminus \{0\}$  tha Note that  $C_n$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to F in the Hausdorff metric and that  $C_n \in \mathcal{G}_D$ .  $\begin{array}{c}\n \text{can} \\
 +1 \}.\n \mathcal{G}_D.\n \end{array}$ So the closure of  $\mathcal{G}_D$  contains all finite sets and hence it is  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R})$ .

Proof of Proposition - - Let A be an algebraically independent Cantor  $D = \emptyset$  in Lemma 5.9. Let  $D$  be a Cantor set that is a proper subset of A. Then the transcendence degree of  $\mathbf R$  over  $\mathbf Q(D)$  is  $\mathfrak c = |A \setminus D|$ , see  $[0,1]$  neorem vii.i.i. by Lemma 5.9  $9D$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{\Lambda})$ . Denne  $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \mathbf{R}^- \to \mathbf{R}$  by  $\pi_1(x, y) = x$  and  $\pi_2(x, y) = y$ . It is easily seen that  $G = \{C \in \mathcal{K}(S^-): \pi_1(C) \in \mathcal{G}_D\}$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(S^-)$ . 1 VII.1.1]. By Lemma 3.9  $\mathcal{G}_D$  is a dens<br>  $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $\pi_1(x, y) = x$  and  $\pi_2(x, y) = y$ <br>  $\mathcal{K}(S^1) : \pi_1(C) \in \mathcal{G}_D$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  in

we show that every  $C \in G$  is extendable to a two point set.  $\pi_1(C) \cup D$ is algebraically independent so we can extend this set to a transcendence base B for R. Let  $\{\ell_\alpha:\alpha<\mathfrak{c}\}$  enumerate the lines in the plane. We shall construct by transfinite induction a nondecreasing sequence  $(E_{\alpha})_{\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}}$  of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^- \setminus \mathbf{C}$  with induction hypotheses:

- $|L| |L_{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha| + \omega,$
- (2)  $C \cup E_{\alpha}$  is a partial two point set.

Put  $E_0 = \emptyset$  and if  $\lambda \leq \mathfrak{c}$  is a limit ordinal then  $E_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} E_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed ordinal  $\lt$  c and consider  $E_\alpha$  and  $\ell_\alpha$ . If  $(\cup \cup E_\alpha) \sqcup \ell_\alpha$  contains two points then  $E_{\alpha+1} = E_{\alpha}$ . Assume now that  $|(C \cup E_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| \leq 1$  and that s a limit ordinal then  $E_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} E_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be<br>nsider  $E_{\alpha}$  and  $\ell_{\alpha}$ . If  $(C \cup E_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}$  contains two<br>Assume now that  $|(C \cup E_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}| \leq 1$  and that  $\ell_{\alpha}$  is the graph of  $ax + by = c$ . Consider the set  $Z = \mathcal{L}(C \cup E_{\alpha}) \cap \ell_{\alpha}$ . If  $(x,y)\in Z$  then there exist two distinct points  $(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)\in \mathbb{C}\cup E_\alpha$ such that  $(x, y)$  is the point of intersection of  $\ell_{\alpha}$  and the line through  $\lambda$  in the element of the  $\mathbf{Q}(\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, a, b, c\})$ . Since  $|E_{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$  we can find a  $B \subset B$ such that  $|B| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$  and  $\pi_1(E_\alpha) \cup \pi_2(E_\alpha) \cup \{$ d y are elements of the field<br>  $\alpha | + \omega$  we can find a  $B' \subset B$ <br>  $(E_{\alpha}) \cup \{a, b, c\}$  is algebraic in  $\mathbf{Q}(B)$ . Since  $S^-$  is an algebraic curve  $\pi_2(\mathbf{C})$  is algebraic in  $\mathbf{Q}(\pi_1(\mathbf{C})).$ As the set of points that are algebraic in a given field is itself a field we may conclude that  $\pi_1(Z) \cup \pi_2(Z)$  is algebraic in  $\mathbf{Q}(\pi_1(\mathbf{C}) \cup B)$ . Since  $|B'| < \mathfrak{c}$  we can select two distinct points u and v in  $\ell_{\alpha}$  such that at least one of the their coordinates is in  $D \setminus B'$  and hence transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}(\pi_1(\mathbf{C}) \cup \mathbf{B})$ . Consequently, u and v are not in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C} \cup \mathbf{E}_\alpha)$ . Putting one of the their coordinates is in  $D \setminus B'$  and hence transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}(\pi_1(C) \cup B')$ . Consequently, u and v are not in  $\mathfrak{L}(C \cup E_\alpha)$ . Putting  $E_{\alpha+1}$  equal to  $E_{\alpha} \cup \{u\}$  or  $E_{\alpha} \cup \{u, v\}$  completes the obvious that  $C \cup E_{\mathfrak{c}}$  is a two point set.

Note that in this proof we may replace  $S^1$  by any algebraic curve that is a partial two point set- In fact it is shown in that Corollary - is provable in ZFC-

in connection with Proposition - and the following assembly the following - and  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Question. What is the Borel type of the set of extendable elements of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}^{-})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{D}$ 

If we combine Proposition - with Theorem - we nd

**Proposition 3.10.** *Inere exist two extendable elements of*  $\mathcal{N}(S^-)$  whose union is not extendable to a two point set.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. J. J. Dijkstra and J. van Mill, Two point set extensions  $-$  a counterexample, Processed American Society (2009), 2009 - 2009
- 2. J. J. Dijkstra, *Generic partial two-point sets are extendable*, Canad. Math. Bull. to appear
- 3. J. Kulesza, A two-point set must be zero-dimensional, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116  $(1992)$ , 551-553.
- 4. N. S. Landkof, Foundations of Modern Potential Theory, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., vol. 180, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- 5. S. Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1993.
- 6. R. D. Mauldin, *Problems in topology arising from analysis*, Open Problems in Topology, J. van Mill and G. M. Reed, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, pp. 617-629.
- 7. R. D. Mauldin, On sets which meet each line in exactly two points, in preparation.

#### IO JAN J. DIJKSIKA, KENNETH KUNENT, AND JAN VAN MILL

- 8. S. Mazurkiewicz, O pewnej mnogości płaskiej, która ma z ka2zda prosta dwa i tylko dwa punkty wspólne, C. R. Varsovie  $7$  (1914), 382-384, (Polish); French transl Sur un ensemble plan qui a avec chaque droite deux et seulement deux points cummuns, Stefan Mazurkiewicz, Traveaux de Topologie et ses Applications, PWN, Warsaw, 1969, pp.  $46-47$ .
- 9. J. van Mill and G. M. Reed, *Open problems in topology*, Topology Appl. 62  $(1995), 93-99.$
- 10. M. E. Rudin, *Martin's Axiom*, Handbook of Mathematical Logic, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 491-501.
- 11. W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- 12. M. Tsuji, Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, Tuscaloosa Alabama Ala

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISconsin 53706, USA

Electronic address jdijkstr-uavmuaedu

FACULTEIT WISKUNDE EN INFORMATICA, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, DE BOELELAAN 1081A, 1081 HV AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS



Figure 1



Figure



Figure



Figure

