

# Induced ideals in Cohen and random extensions\*

Ashutosh Kumar and Kenneth Kunen<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

We show that the following is consistent (relative to the consistency of a measurable cardinal): There is no real valued measurable cardinal below continuum and there is a finitely additive extension  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is a sigma ideal. We also show that there is a countable partition of  $[0, 1]$  into interior free sets under the  $m$ -density topology of any such extension.

## 1 Introduction

We investigate some questions around a problem of Juhász. Our main results are the following: Let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal in  $V$ . Let  $G$  be a generic filter for a finite support iteration of random forcing of length  $\kappa$ . Then in  $V[G]$ , there is no real valued measurable cardinal below continuum and there is a finitely additive extension  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is  $2^\omega$ -additive. We also show that there is a countable partition of  $[0, 1]$  into interior free sets under the  $m$ -density topology of any such extension. Sections 2, 3 and 4 contain well known results and are included due to the similarity with the techniques we use in Section 5. We hope this will make the later arguments more accessible. For background on elementary embedding and forcing, we refer the reader to Kanamori's book [3].

## 2 Induced ideals in ccc extensions

We start with the following question: Suppose  $\kappa$  is a measurable cardinal and  $I$  is a witnessing normal prime ideal over  $\kappa$ . Let  $P$  be a forcing notion and  $G$  a  $P$ -generic filter over  $V$ . Let  $\hat{I}$  be the ideal generated by  $I$  over  $\kappa$  in  $V[G]$ . Describe forcing with  $\hat{I}$ ; i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$ . For the purpose of this note, the specific forcings that we consider are all ccc. In this case, the induced ideal is  $\omega_1$ -saturated and normal.

**Proposition 2.1** (Prikry [6]). *Let  $I$  be a  $\kappa$ -additive  $\omega_1$ -saturated ideal over an uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ . Let  $P$  be a ccc forcing notion and  $G$  a  $P$ -generic filter over  $V$ . Let  $\hat{I}$  be the ideal generated by  $I$  over  $\kappa$  in  $V[G]$ . Then  $\hat{I}$  is a  $\kappa$ -additive  $\omega_1$ -saturated ideal over  $\kappa$ . Furthermore, if  $I$  is normal so is  $\hat{I}$ .*

---

\*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03E35, 03E75. Key words: Forcing

<sup>†</sup>University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706; akumar@math.wisc.edu, kunen@math.wisc.edu

Proof: Let  $\langle B_i : i < \theta \rangle \subset \hat{I}$  where  $\theta < \kappa$ . Let  $\langle A_i : i < \theta \rangle \subset I$  be such that  $B_i \subseteq A_i$  for each  $i < \theta$ . Let  $p \in P$  force this. In  $V$ , for each  $i < \theta$ , get a maximal antichain  $\langle p_{i,n} : n < \omega \rangle$  below  $p$  deciding  $\dot{A}_i$ . Say  $\langle C_{i,n} : n < \omega \rangle \subset I$  is such that  $p_{i,n} \Vdash \dot{A}_i = C_{i,n}$ . Let  $C_i = \bigcup \{C_{i,n} : n < \omega\}$ . Then  $p \Vdash \dot{B}_i \subseteq C_i$  for each  $i < \theta$  and hence  $\bigcup \{B_i : i < \theta\} \subseteq \bigcup \{C_i : i < \theta\} \in I$ . It follows that  $\hat{I}$  is  $\kappa$ -additive. Next suppose  $\hat{I}$  is not  $\omega_1$ -saturated and let  $p$  force that  $\langle X_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  is a collection of pairwise disjoint  $\hat{I}$ -positive sets. Work in  $V$ . Let  $Y_i = \{\alpha < \kappa : \exists q \leq p(q \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{X}_i)\}$ . Then  $Y_i \in I^+$  for each  $i < \omega_1$ . Now observe that there must exist some  $A \in I^+$  such that every  $I$ -positive subset of  $A$  has  $I$ -positive intersections with uncountably many  $Y_i$ 's. Otherwise we can extract an  $I$ -positive disjoint refinement of an uncountable subsequence of  $\langle Y_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle$  which is impossible as  $I$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated. By thinning down we can also assume that  $\bigcup \{Y_i : i < \omega_1\} = A$ . It follows that for each  $j < \omega_1$ ,  $\bigcup \{Y_i : j < i < \omega_1\}$  contains  $A$  modulo  $I$ . Hence  $\limsup \langle Y_i : i < \omega_1 \rangle = A$  modulo  $I$ . In particular, some  $\alpha < \kappa$  belongs to uncountably many  $Y_i$ 's and the witnessing conditions  $q_i$ 's must form an antichain contradicting the ccc-ness of  $P$ . Now suppose that  $I$  is normal. We'll show that  $\hat{I}$  is closed under diagonal unions in  $V[G]$ . So let  $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle \subset I$  and  $A_\alpha \subset (\alpha, \kappa) = \{i : \alpha < i < \kappa\}$ . Let  $p \in P$  force this. Working in  $V$ , for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , get a maximal antichain  $\langle p_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega \rangle$  below  $p$  deciding  $\dot{A}_\alpha$ . Say  $\langle B_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega \rangle \subset I$  is such that  $p_{\alpha,n} \Vdash \dot{A}_\alpha = B_{\alpha,n}$ . Let  $B_\alpha = \bigcup \{B_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ . Then  $B_\alpha \in I$  and  $p \Vdash A_\alpha \subseteq B_\alpha \subset (\alpha, \kappa)$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ . By normality of  $I$ , we get  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \in I$ . Hence  $\bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \in \hat{I}$ .

### 3 Prikry's model

Now let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal with a witnessing normal ideal  $I$ . Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be the corresponding ultrapower embedding with critical point  $\kappa$ . We'll repeatedly use  $M^\kappa \subset M$ . Denote by  $C_\lambda$ , the Cohen algebra for adding  $\lambda$  many Cohen reals; so  $C_\lambda$  is the regular open algebra of  $2^\lambda$ . Let  $G$  be  $C_\lambda$ -generic over  $V$ . We attempt to describe, in  $V[G]$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  where  $\hat{I}$  is the ideal generated by  $I$ . If  $\lambda < \kappa$ , this algebra is trivial, so assume  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ .

By elementarity plus the fact that  $M$  is countably closed,  $j(C_\lambda) = C_{j(\lambda)}$  is the Cohen algebra for adding  $j(\lambda)$  many Cohen reals. Suppose,  $H$  is  $C_{j(\lambda)}$ -generic over  $V$ . Consider,  $G = \{p \in C_\lambda : j(p) \in H\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  *$G$  is  $C_\lambda$ -generic over  $V$ .*

Proof: Clearly,  $G$  is a filter over  $C_\lambda$ . Suppose,  $\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle \subseteq C_\lambda$  is a maximal antichain. Then,  $j(\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle) = \langle j(p_n) : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a maximal antichain in  $C_{j(\lambda)}$ . So some  $j(p_n) \in H$ . Hence  $p_n \in G$ .

The embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  extends to  $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M[H]$  satisfying  $j^*(G) = H$  by defining  $j^*(X) = \text{val}_H(j(\dot{X}))$ . The inclusion  $j[G] \subseteq H$  ensures that  $j^*(X)$  does not depend on the choice of the name for  $X$ .

Working in  $V[G]$ , consider the function  $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I} \rightarrow C_{j(\lambda)}/j[G]$  defined by:

$$\phi([X]) = [[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{C_{j(\lambda)}/j[G]}$$

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$\phi$  is a Boolean isomorphism.*

Proof: First note that  $\phi$  is well defined since if  $p \Vdash \overset{\circ}{X} \Delta \overset{\circ}{Y} \subseteq A$  for some  $A \in I$ , then  $[[\kappa \in j(\overset{\circ}{X})]]_{C_{j(\lambda)}} \wedge j(p) = [[\kappa \in j(\overset{\circ}{Y})]]_{C_{j(\lambda)}} \wedge j(p)$  so that  $\phi(X) = \phi(Y)$ . It is clear that  $\phi$  preserves boolean operations. To see that it is injective, note that if  $\phi(X) = 0$ , then for some  $p \in G$ ,  $[[\kappa \in j(\overset{\circ}{X})]]_{C_{j(\lambda)}} \wedge j(p) = 0$ . Then,  $p \Vdash \overset{\circ}{X} \in \hat{I}$ . Finally, if  $q \in j(C_\lambda)/j[G]$ , then for some  $p_\alpha \in C_\lambda$ , for  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $q = [\langle p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle]$ . Let  $\overset{\circ}{X}$  be such that  $[[\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{X}]]_{C_\lambda} = p_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then,  $[[\kappa \in j(\overset{\circ}{X})]]_{C_{j(\lambda)}} = j(\overset{\circ}{X})([id]) = [\langle p_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle] = q$ . Hence  $\phi$  is surjective.

**Corollary 3.3** (Prikry [6]). *In  $V[G]$ , forcing with  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is same as adding  $|j(\lambda) \setminus j[\lambda]|$  Cohen reals. In particular, when  $\kappa \leq \lambda \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  adds  $2^\kappa$  Cohen reals. If  $\lambda = 2^\kappa$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is  $\sigma$ -centered.*

Proof: The first statement is clear. The second follows from the fact that whenever  $\kappa \leq \lambda \leq (2^\kappa)^V$ , we have  $2^\kappa < j(\lambda) < (2^\kappa)^+$ . For the third statement use the fact that  $2^{(2^\omega)}$  is separable.

## 4 Solovay's model

Again  $\kappa$  is a measurable cardinal with a witnessing normal ideal  $I$  and  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is the corresponding ultrapower embedding with critical point  $\kappa$ . Let  $R_\lambda$  be the measure algebra on  $2^\lambda$  for adding  $\lambda$  many random reals with  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ . So  $j(R_\lambda) = R_{j(\lambda)}$  as above. Let  $H$  be  $R_{j(\lambda)}$ -generic over  $V$ . Set  $G = \{p \in R_\lambda : j(p) \in H\}$ . As in Lemma 3.1, we get

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$G$  is  $R_\lambda$ -generic over  $V$ .*

The embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M$  extends to  $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M[H]$  satisfying  $j^*(G) = H$  by defining  $j^*(X) = \text{val}_H(j(\overset{\circ}{X}))$ . In  $V[G]$ , consider the function  $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I} \rightarrow R_{j(\lambda)}/j[G]$  defined by:

$$\phi([X]) = [[\kappa \in j(\overset{\circ}{X})]]_{R_{j(\lambda)}}/j[G]$$

**Lemma 4.2.**  *$\phi$  is a Boolean isomorphism.*

**Corollary 4.3** (Solovay [7]). *In  $V[G]$ , forcing with  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is same as adding  $|j(\lambda) \setminus j[\lambda]|$  random reals. In particular, in  $V[G]$ , continuum is real valued measurable.*

## 5 Around a question of Juhász

Juhász recently asked the following question (communicated by Arnold Miller):

**Question 5.1.** *Is there a ccc Hausdorff space  $X$  without isolated points such that for every partition  $X = \bigcup \{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$  there is some  $Y_n$  with non empty interior?*

If  $X$  is such a space then by passing to some open subset of  $X$  we can assume every open subset of  $X$  is such a space. So the family of interior free subsets of  $X$  forms a  $\sigma$ -ideal that is also  $\omega_1$ -saturated, as  $X$  is ccc. So we need the consistency of a measurable cardinal. Starting with a real valued measurable cardinal below continuum, Kunen, Szymański and Tall have constructed such a space; see Corollary 3.6 in [4]. The next theorem describes another construction that even makes the space  $T_4$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Suppose  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $V$ . Let  $C_\kappa$  be Cohen forcing for adding  $\kappa$  Cohen reals. Let  $G$  be  $C_\kappa$ -generic over  $V$ . Then, in  $V[G]$ , there is a ccc  $T_4$  space  $X$  without isolated points such that whenever  $X = \bigcup\{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$ , some  $Y_n$  has non empty interior.*

Proof: Let  $I$  be a witnessing normal ideal over  $\kappa$  and  $\hat{I}$ , the ideal generated by  $I$  in  $V[G]$ . By Corollary 3.3,  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is isomorphic to  $C_{2^\kappa}$ . For  $\alpha < 2^\kappa$ , let  $E_\alpha = \{f : 2^{2^\kappa} \rightarrow 2 : f(\alpha) = 1\}$ . Then  $\{[E_\alpha] : \alpha < 2^\kappa\}$  is an independent family that completely generates  $C_{2^\kappa}$ . Let  $\{[A_\alpha] : \alpha < 2^\kappa\}$  be the corresponding family in  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$ . We'll define a topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\kappa$  by choosing a member  $A_\alpha$  from each equivalence class  $[A_\alpha]$  and declaring it to be clopen. We do it in such a way that for any two disjoint sets  $X, Y \in \hat{I}$ , there is some  $A_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < 2^\kappa$  separating them - i.e.,  $X \subset A_\alpha$  and  $Y \subset \kappa \setminus A_\alpha$ . Since there are only  $2^\kappa$  many such pairs, this can clearly be done. Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is Hausdorff. Also, every set in  $\hat{I}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -closed since for any  $X \in \hat{I}$ , the union of  $A_\alpha$ 's disjoint with  $X$  is  $\kappa \setminus X$ . We claim that for any  $B \subseteq \kappa$ ,  $B \in \hat{I}$  iff the  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior of  $B$  is empty. Notice that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite boolean combinations of  $A_\alpha$ 's is a basis for  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since each member of this basis is  $\hat{I}$ -positive, every member of  $\hat{I}$  has empty  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior. Conversely, if  $B$  is  $\hat{I}$ -positive, then for some  $X \in \hat{I}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \setminus X \subseteq B$ . This is because  $\{[A] : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$ . As  $X$  is closed,  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior of  $B$  is empty.  $\mathcal{T}$  is ccc because  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is ccc. Since  $\hat{I}$  is  $\kappa$ -additive, every partition of  $\kappa$  into fewer than  $\kappa$  many sets contains one set with non empty  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior. It remains to show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is normal. Fix  $C, D \subseteq \kappa$  disjoint and  $\mathcal{T}$ -closed. Let  $C', D'$  be  $\mathcal{T}$ -interiors of  $C$  and  $D$ . Let  $C_1 = C \setminus C'$ ,  $D_1 = D \setminus D'$ . Then  $C_1, D_1 \in \hat{I}$  since their  $\mathcal{T}$ -interiors are empty. Let  $A_\alpha$  separate  $C_1, D_1$ . Then  $(A_\alpha \cup C') \setminus D$  and  $((\kappa \setminus A_\alpha) \cup D') \setminus C$  are  $\mathcal{T}$ -open sets separating  $C$  and  $D$ . This finishes the proof.

Juhász wondered if the density topology of some countably additive total extension  $m$  of Lebesgue measure could give an example of such a space. Recall that for a finitely additive total measure  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , a set  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  is open in the  $m$ -density topology if each point of  $A$  is an  $m$ -density one point of  $A$ . But we noted that using a result of Maharam [5], together with the fact that the measure algebra of  $m$  is everywhere inseparable (by a theorem of Gitik and Shelah [1]), one can deduce the existence of some  $X \subset [0, 1]$  with  $m(X) = 1/2$  that divides every Borel set into two pieces of equal measure; so, such an example, with a countably additive measure, is impossible. But, it may still be possible to have a *finitely* additive total extension of Lebesgue measure whose density topology gives an example of such a space. This led us to consider the following question:

**Question 5.3.** *Does there exist a finitely additive extension  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is countably additive but  $m$  is nowhere countably additive?*

This question is answered in the model described by the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal in  $V$ . Let  $G$  be a generic filter for a finite support iteration of random forcing of length  $\kappa$ . Then in  $V[G]$ , there is no real valued measurable cardinal below continuum and there is a finitely additive extension  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is countably additive.*

We now sketch a proof of this.

**Definition 5.5.** *A strictly positive finitely additive probability measure (SPFAM) on a Boolean algebra  $B$  is a function  $m : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following:*

- For every  $b \in B$ ,  $m(b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow b = 0_B$  and  $m(1_B) = 1$
- For every  $a, b \in B$ , if  $a \cap b = 0$  then  $m(a \cup b) = m(a) + m(b)$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra (cBa) with a SPFAM  $m$  and let  $\mu, \dot{C}$  be  $B$ -names such that  $[[\dot{C} \text{ is a cBa and } \mu \text{ is a SPFAM on } \dot{C}]]_B = 1$ . Then  $B \star \dot{C}$  admits a SPFAM extending  $m$ , identifying  $B$  with a complete subalgebra of  $B \star \dot{C}$ .*

Proof: We begin by reviewing  $B \star \dot{C}$  - See [2] for details. Let  $S = \{\tau \in V^B : [[\tau \in \dot{C}]]_B = 1\}$ . Define an equivalence relation on  $S$ :  $\sigma \sim \tau$  iff  $[[\sigma = \tau]]_B = 1$ . Let  $D$  be a complete set of representatives. Then  $D$  is a cBa under Boolean operations induced from  $\dot{C}$ . In particular, for any  $c_1, c_2 \in D$ ,  $c_1 \leq_D c_2$  iff  $[[c_1 \leq_C c_2]]_B = 1$ . We let  $B \star \dot{C} = D$ . The map  $e : B \rightarrow D$  defined by setting  $e(b)$  to be the unique  $\tau \in D$  such that  $[[\tau = 1_C]]_B = b$  and  $[[\tau = 0_C]]_B = -b$  is a complete embedding of  $B$  into  $D$  and we identify the image  $e[B]$  with  $B$ .

Now define  $\phi : D \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows: Let  $\tau \in D$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\langle I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{2^n-1} \rangle$  be the dyadic partition of  $[0, 1]$  into intervals of length  $1/2^n$ . Let

$$\phi_n(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} m([[ \mu(\tau) \in I_k ]])_B k / 2^n$$

Then  $0 \leq \phi_n(\tau) \leq \phi_{n+1}(\tau) \leq 1$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\phi(\tau) = \sup_n \phi_n(\tau)$ .

**Claim 5.7.**  *$\phi$  is a SPFAM on  $D$ , extending  $m$ .*

Clearly,  $\phi(0_D) = 0$ ,  $\phi(1_D) = 1$ . If  $\sigma, \tau \in D$  are disjoint then  $[[\sigma \cap \tau = 0]]_B = 1$ . Hence  $[[\mu(\sigma \cup \tau) = \mu(\sigma) + \mu(\tau)]]_B = 1$  and it follows that  $\phi(\sigma \cup \tau) = \phi(\sigma) + \phi(\tau)$ .  $\phi$  is strictly positive because  $[[\mu \text{ is strictly positive}]]_B = 1$ . Finally if  $b \in B$ , then  $[[e(b) = \{\langle 1_C, b \rangle, \langle 0_C, -b \rangle\}]]_B = 1$ . Hence,  $\phi(b) = 1 \cdot m(b) + 0 \cdot m(-b) = m(b)$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Suppose  $\kappa$  is measurable and  $I$  is a witnessing normal ideal. Let  $G$  be a generic filter for finite support iteration of random forcing of length  $\kappa$ . Let  $\hat{I}$  be the induced ideal in  $V[G]$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  is a cBa that admits a SPFAM  $m$ . Furthermore one can identify the random algebra  $R_\omega$  with a complete subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  on which  $m$  agrees with the Lebesgue measure.*

Proof: Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be the ultrapower embedding. Let  $\langle B_\alpha, \dot{C}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  be the finite support iteration of random forcing; i.e.,

- $B_0 = R_\omega$
- $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha \star \dot{C}_\alpha$  where  $[[\dot{C}_\alpha = R_\omega]]_{B_\alpha} = 1$
- When  $\lambda$  is limit,  $B_\lambda$  is the Boolean completion of the direct limit of  $\langle B_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ .

So we have  $B_0 \triangleleft B_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft B = B_\kappa$  where  $B_\kappa$  is the completion of the direct limit of this iteration.

By elementarity plus the fact that  $M$  is countably closed,  $j(B)$  is the finite support iteration of random forcings of length  $j(\kappa)$  in  $M$ . Notice that  $B \triangleleft j(B)$  since  $j(B)$  extends

$B$  through a longer iteration. Let  $G$  be  $B$ -generic over  $V$ . Then  $j \upharpoonright G$  is identity and hence  $j[G] = G$ . Let  $\hat{I}$  be the ideal generated by  $I$  in  $V[G]$ . Consider the map  $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I} \rightarrow j(B)/G$  defined by  $\phi([X]) = [[\kappa \in j(\dot{X})]]_{j(B)/G}$ . Then  $\phi$  is a Boolean isomorphism. Now in  $M[G]$ ,  $j(B)/G$  is a finite support iteration of random forcing indexed by  $j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa$ . By previous lemma, we can construct an increasing sequence of SPFAMs  $\langle m_\alpha : \kappa + 1 \leq \alpha < j(\kappa) \rangle$  on this iteration. Let  $m : j(B)/G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be their union. Since  $M[G]$  and  $V[G]$  have same reals, we can also assume that the measure algebra of  $m_{\kappa+1}$  is the random algebra in  $V[G]$ . Now in  $V[G]$ , we can lift  $m$  to a SPFAM on  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\hat{I}$  via the isomorphism  $\phi$  and this finishes the proof.

To lift  $m$  to an extension of Lebesgue measure on  $2^\omega$ , create a tree  $\langle X_\sigma : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$  of subsets of  $\kappa$  such that

- $X_\emptyset = \kappa$
- For every  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ ,  $X_\sigma$  is a disjoint union of  $X_{\sigma 0}$  and  $X_{\sigma 1}$
- $m(X_\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|}$ , where  $|\sigma|$  is the length of  $\sigma$

Furthermore,  $m$  restricted to the sigma algebra generated by  $\{X_\sigma : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}\}$  is isomorphic to Lebesgue measure on  $R_\omega$  under an isomorphism that takes  $X_\sigma$  to  $[\sigma] \in 2^\omega$ . Let  $f : \kappa \rightarrow 2^\omega$  be such that  $f(\alpha) = y$  iff  $\forall n(\alpha \in X_{y \upharpoonright n})$ . Define  $\nu : \mathcal{P}(2^\omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\nu(Y) = m(f^{-1}[Y])$ . Then,  $\nu$  is a finitely additive total extension of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is  $2^\omega$ -additive. To finish note that since Cohen reals are added cofinally often, every set of reals of size less than  $\kappa$  is Lebesgue null. It is well known, by the Gitik–Shelah theorem [1], that if there a real valued measurable cardinal below continuum then there is a Sierpiński set of size  $\omega_1$ . Hence there is no real valued measurable in this model and in particular  $\nu$  is nowhere countably additive.

We now address the question:

**Question 5.9.** *Let  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a finitely additive total extension of Lebesgue measure whose null ideal is countably additive. Can the density topology of  $m$  on  $[0, 1]$  provide an example of a ccc Hausdorff space without isolated points such that every partition of  $[0, 1]$  into countably many sets contains a set with non empty interior?*

It turns out that the answer is no. In fact, we'll show the following:

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $m : \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a finitely additive total extension of Lebesgue measure. Denote the  $m$ -density topology by  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then there is a countable partition of  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior free sets.*

Proof: Call  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  *small* if  $S$  can be covered by countably many  $m$ -null sets. Let  $\{S_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint small sets of positive measure. Then  $A = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} S_n$  does not contain any small set of positive measure. Hence the null ideal of  $m$  restricted to  $A$  is countably additive. It is now enough to split  $A$  into countably many  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior free sets.

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $m$  be as above. Suppose  $X \subset [0, 1]$  is open in the  $m$ -density topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G$  (in the usual topology) such that  $m(G) = m(X)$  and  $X \setminus G$  is Lebesgue null.*

Proof: Fix an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the collection of all closed intervals in which the fractional measure of  $X$  is more than  $1 - \varepsilon$ . Since every point of  $X$  is a density one point of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Vitali covering of  $X$ ; i.e., for each  $\delta > 0$ , and  $y \in X$  there is an interval  $I \in \mathcal{V}$  that contains  $y$  and has length less than  $\delta$ . By the Vitali covering theorem, there is a disjoint subcollection  $\{I_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  that covers all but a Lebesgue null part of  $X$ . Setting  $U_\varepsilon = \bigcup\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ , we get  $m(X) \geq \sum_{n \in \omega} m(X \cap I_n) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{n \in \omega} m(I_n) = (1 - \varepsilon)m(U_\varepsilon)$ . Let  $G$  be the intersection of  $U_\varepsilon$ 's where  $\varepsilon$  runs over positive rationals.

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $m, A$  be as above. Then there is a partition of  $A$  into countably many  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior free sets.*

We first show that there is no positive measure  $X \subseteq A$  all of whose positive measure subsets have non empty interior in the  $m$ -density topology. Suppose otherwise. Let  $\{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{T}$ -open subsets of  $X$ . Then  $Y = \bigcup\{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$  covers all but an  $m$ -null part of  $X$ . Hence there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G$  such that  $X \Delta G$  is  $m$ -null. The same holds of any positive measure subset of  $X$ . It follows that  $m \upharpoonright \mathcal{P}(X)$  is countably additive but its measure algebra is separable. But this is impossible by the Gitik-Shelah theorem.

Now let  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a maximal family of pairwise disjoint,  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior free, positive measure subsets of  $A$ . Let  $X = A \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} W_n$ . Then every positive measure subset of  $X$  has non empty interior and hence  $X$  must be  $m$ -null and we are done.

## References

- [1] M. Gitik and S. Shelah, Forcings with ideals and simple forcing notions, Israel J. Math. 68 (1989), 129-160
- [2] T. Jech, Set theory, Third millenium edition, Heidelberg Springer-Verlag (2003)
- [3] A. Kanamori, The higher infinite, Springer Monographs in Math. 2nd edition, Springer Berlin (2003)
- [4] K. Kunen, A. Szymański, F. Tall, Baire irresolvable spaces and ideal theory, Annals Math. Silesiana, 14 (1986), pp. 98-107
- [5] D. Maharam, On homogeneous measure algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA March 1942, 28(3), pp. 108-111
- [6] K. Prikry, Changing measurable into accessible cardinals, Dissertationes Math. 68 (1970)
- [7] R. Solovay, Real-valued measurable cardinals, Axiomatic set theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIII, Part I, Univ. California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1967, pp. 397-428, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971