

Qualifying Exam in LOGIC

January 20, 1983

INSTRUCTIONS: Do four questions; at most two elementary.

NOTATIONS:

1.  $\{\varphi_e \mid e < \omega\}$  is a standard enumeration of all partial recursive functions.
2.  $W_e =_{df} \text{dom } \varphi_e$
3.  $W_{e,s} =_{df} \{x \leq s \mid \varphi_e(x) \text{ converges in } \leq s \text{ steps}\}$
4.  $D_y = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n\}$  where  $y = 2^{x_1} + 2^{x_2} + \dots + 2^{x_n}$ .

**POLICY ON MISPRINTS**

The Doctoral Exam Committee tries to proofread the exams as carefully as possible. Nevertheless, the exam may contain misprints. If you are convinced a problem has been stated incorrectly, mention this to the proctor and indicate your interpretation in your solution. In such cases do not interpret the problem in such a way that it becomes trivial.

I. Elementary Questions

1. Find a set  $S$  of sentences in an uncountable language such that

a) for all  $n \in \omega$ ,  $S$  has a finite model of size  $\geq n$ .

b)  $S$  has no countably infinite models.

2. Let  $L$  be a fixed finite language. If  $S, T$  are consistent sets of sentences of  $L$ , say  $S \Rightarrow T$  iff  $S \vdash \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in T$ .

Show:

a) If  $T$  is r.e. and consistent, there is a complete  $\Pi_1^0$  (co-r.e.)  $S$  with  $S \Rightarrow T$ .

b) There is an r.e. consistent  $T$  with no complete r.e.  $S$  such that  $S \Rightarrow T$ .

3. Prove the following or give a counterexample: Let  $S_n, T_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) be sets of sentences. Assume that for all  $n$ ,  $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ ,  $T_n \subset T_{n+1}$ , and there is a model,  $\mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_n \models T_n$  and  $\mathcal{U}_n \not\models S_n$ . Then there is a  $L$  such that  $L \models \bigcup_{n \in \omega} T_n$  and  $L \not\models \bigcup_{n \in \omega} S_n$ .

## II. Recursion Theory

1. Prove or disprove:

There exists a complete  $\Delta_2^0$  set; i.e.  $\exists A \in \Delta_2^0 \forall B \in \Delta_2^0 \exists f$  recursive  $\forall n$   
 $n \in B$  iff  $f(n) \in A$ .

2. Let  $\{U_{e,s} \mid e,s < \omega\}$  satisfy:

i)  $U_{e,s} \subset U_{e,s+1}$ ; and

ii)  $\exists F$  recursive  $\forall e,s [U_{e,s} = D_{f(e,s)}]$ .

Let  $U_e =_{df} \bigcup_{s < \omega} U_{e,s}$ . Prove there is a recursive  $g$  such that for all  $e,s$ :

1)  $W_{g(e)} = U_e$ ; and

2)  $W_{g(e),s+1} \subset U_{e,s}$ .

Hint: Recursion Theorem.

3. Suppose a recursive  $f$  satisfies

$$\forall n,m [W_{f(n)} \neq \{0,1,2,\dots,m-1\}] .$$

Prove that there is a recursive  $g$  satisfying:

1)  $\forall i \exists j [W_i = W_{f(j)} \text{ or } W_i = W_{g(j)}]$  ;

2)  $\forall i,j [W_{f(i)} \neq W_{g(j)}]$  ; and

3)  $\forall i \neq j [W_{g(i)} \neq W_{g(j)}]$  .

Hint: Construct  $\{A_i\}_{i < \omega}$  such that there is a recursive  $g$ ,

$A_i = W_{g(i)}$  for all  $i$ .

### III. Model Theory

1. A model  $A$  is almost- $\omega$ -homogeneous iff<sub>df</sub> there is an  $\bar{a} \in |A|^{<\omega}$  such that  $\langle A, \bar{a} \rangle$  is  $\omega$ -homogeneous. Prove that if every countable model of a theory  $T$  is almost- $\omega$ -homogeneous, then every model of  $T$  is almost- $\omega$ -homogeneous.
  
2. If  $\Gamma, \Sigma$  are complete types of a theory  $T$ , say that  $\Gamma$  forces  $\Sigma$  iff<sub>df</sub> whenever  $\Gamma$  is realized in a model, then  $\Sigma$  is realized too. Suppose  $T$  is a complete theory with the property that if  $\Gamma$  forces  $\Sigma$  then either
  - i)  $\Sigma$  is principal; or
  - ii)  $\Sigma(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \subseteq \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n$ .
 Suppose  $\Gamma(x)$  is a complete 1-type. Prove that there is a homogeneous model of  $T$  omitting  $\Gamma(x)$ .
  
3. Assume that  $R, S \subseteq \omega \times \omega$  are recursive,  $\langle \omega, R \rangle \cong \langle \omega, S \rangle$ , and  $\langle \omega, R \rangle$  is homogeneous. Prove that there is an  $f \in \Delta_3^0$  such that  $f : \langle \omega, R \rangle \cong \langle \omega, S \rangle$ .
  
4. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a uniform ultrafilter on  $\omega_1$ . If  $K$  is a family of structures for  $L$ , let  $K^*$  be the set of all structures of the form  $\prod_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \mathcal{U}_\alpha / \mathcal{U}$ , where each  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \in K$ . Let  $S$  be a set of sentences of  $L$  with  $|S| = \omega_1$ . Assume that for each finite  $F \subseteq S$ , there is an  $\mathcal{U} \in K$  with  $\mathcal{U} \models F$ . Show that there is an  $\mathcal{U} \in (K^*)^*$  with  $\mathcal{U} \models S$ .

IV. Set Theory

1. Let  $M$  be a countable transitive model for  $ZFC + GCH$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{P} \in M$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  is a partial order, and  $(\mathbb{P} \text{ is c.c.c.})^M$ . Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$ . Show that the following holds in  $M[G]$ :

$$\forall F \subset {}^{\omega_1}\omega_1 (|F| \geq \omega_3 \rightarrow \exists f, g \in F (f \neq g \wedge |\{\alpha < \omega_1 : f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\}| = \omega_1)) .$$

2. If  $X$  is a set of real numbers, call  $X$  a Bernstein set iff  $|X| \geq \omega_1$  and  $X$  has no perfect subsets. Suppose that  $x_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , are distinct real numbers. Show that there is a closed unbounded  $C \subset \omega_1$  such that  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in C\}$  is a Bernstein set.

3. Assume  $MA + \neg CH$ . For each limit  $\gamma \in \omega_1$ , let  $A_\gamma$  be a cofinal  $\omega$ -sequence. Show that there are  $B_n \subset \omega_1$  for  $n \in \omega$  such that each  $B_n \cap A_\gamma$  is finite and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n = \omega_1$ .