# QUALIFYING EXAM IN LOGIC January, 1991

INSTRUCTIONS: Do any four problems. Use a separate packet of paper for each problem, since not all of your answers will be graded by the same person. You should not hand in more than four problems; if you do more, only the first four will be graded.

If you think a problem has been stated incorrectly, mention this to the proctor and indicate your interpretation in your solution. In such cases, do not interpret the problem in such a way that it becomes trivial.

NOTATION:  $\omega$  is the set of natural numbers. All languages are understood to be languages in first order predicate logic. The universe of a model  $\mathfrak A$  is denoted by A. A set  $X \subseteq Y$  is cofinite in Y if Y - X is finite. The cofinality of a linearly ordered set  $\langle S, \leq \rangle$  is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that for some  $T \subseteq S$  of size  $\kappa$ ,  $(\forall s \in S)(\exists t \in T)s \leq t$ . The coinitiality of  $\langle S, \leq \rangle$  is defined similarly but with  $s \geq t$ . If  $A, B \subseteq \omega$ ,  $A \equiv_T B$  means that A is Turing equivalent to B, and  $A <_T B$  means that A is Turing reducible to B and not  $A \equiv_T B$ .  $W_X$  is the domain of the partial recursive function with Godel number x.  $A^{(n)}$  is the  $n^{th}$  jump of A.  $A \oplus B$  is the disjoint union  $\{2^X : x \in A\} \cup \{3^Y : y \in B\}$ . ZFC is Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with choice. MA is Martin's Axiom, CH is the continuum hypothesis, and GCH is the generalized continuum hypothesis.

#### ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS

- E1. Let T be a theory in a finite language which has no infinite models. Show that T is decidable.
- E2. Let  $\mathfrak U$  be elementarily equivalent to the model  $(\omega,0,s)$  where s is the successor function. Show that for every formula  $\phi(x)$  in the language of  $\mathfrak U$ , the set  $\{a \in A : \mathfrak U \models \phi[a]\}$  is either finite or cofinite in A.

#### MODEL THEORY

- M1. Let T be a theory with infinite models in a countable language. Prove that T has a countable model  $\mathfrak U$  which has  $2^{\omega}$  distinct elementary submodels.
- M2. Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be infinite regular cardinals. Prove that the standard model of arithmetic has an elementary extension  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, +^{\mathfrak{A}}, *^{\mathfrak{A}}, \leq^{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle$  such that  $\langle A \omega, \leq^{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle$  has coinitiality  $\kappa$  and cofinality  $\lambda$ .

### RECURSION THEORY

- R1. Show that there is no partial recursive function  $\phi(x)$  on  $\omega$  such that for all x,  $W_X \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $\phi(x)$  is defined and equals  $\min\{y: y \in W_X\}$ .
  - R2. Show that there are r.e. sets A and B such that for all n,

$$A^{(n)} <_T \emptyset^{(n+1)}, \ B^{(n)} <_T \emptyset^{(n+1)}, \ \mathrm{and} \ A^{(n)} \oplus B^{(n)} \equiv_T \emptyset^{(n+1)}.$$

Hint: Use the Sacks Splitting Theorem, the Robinson Jump Interpolation Theorem, and the Recursion Theorem.

## SET THEORY

- S1. Let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC+GCH. Show that there is a forcing extension of M satisfying ZFC+GCH together with the statement that not every subset of  $\omega_1$  is constructible from a subset of  $\omega$ .
- S2. Assume MA and  $\neg$ CH. Let X be a set of real numbers of size  $\aleph_1$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $S_X$  be an  $\omega$ -sequence of elements of X which converges to x. Prove that there is an uncountable  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $Y \cap S_X$  is finite for all  $x \in X$ .

## SET THEORY

S1. Let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC + GCH. Show that there is a forcing extension of M satisfying ZFC + GCH together with the statement that not every subset of  $\omega_1$  is constructible from a subset of  $\omega$ .

Solution: In M, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be finite partial functions from  $\omega_1$  to 2. Since (in M)  $\mathcal{P}$  is ccc and has size  $\omega_1$ , M[G] still satisfies GCH. Every element of  $M[G\cap\mathcal{P}]$  is of the form  $\tau_G$  for some  $\mathcal{P}$  name  $\tau$ . In particular,  $G\notin M[G\cap\mathcal{P}]$  for any  $\alpha<\omega_1$ . In M[G], if  $x\subset\omega$ , then  $x\in M[G]$   $\mathcal{P}$  for some  $\alpha<\omega_1$ , so  $G\notin M[x]$ , so  $G\notin L[x]$ . Thus, if  $A=\{\alpha\in\omega_1: G(\alpha)=1\}$ , then A is a subset of  $\omega_1$  not constructible from any subset of  $\omega$ .

**S2.** Assume MA and  $\neg$ CH. Let X be a set of real numbers of size  $\aleph_1$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $S_x$  be a simple sequence in X which converges to x. Prove that there is an uncountable  $Y \subset X$  such that  $Y \cap S_x$  is finite for all  $x \in X$ .

Solution: Let  $S = \{S_x : x \in S\}$ . Let P be the set of all pairs,  $p = \langle a_p, F_p \rangle$  such that  $a_p$  is a finite subset of X and  $F_p$  is a finite subset of S. Say  $q \leq p$  iff  $a_q \supseteq a_p$ ,  $F_q \supseteq F_q$ , and

$$\forall S \in F_p \forall x \in (a_q \backslash a_p)(x \notin S) .$$

Dense sets: Say  $X = {S \atop X\alpha} : \alpha \in \omega_1$ , where each  $X_{\alpha}$  is countable. If G meets  $\{p : a_p \setminus X_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}$  for each  $\alpha$  and  $\{p : S \in F_p\}$  for each  $S \in S$ , then  $Y = {S \atop D2} = {Ap \atop D2} = {$ 

ccc: Suppose A is an uncountable antichain in  $\mathcal{P}$ . By the standard  $\Delta$ -system and thinning arguments, we may assume that the  $a_p$  for  $p \in A$  form a  $\Delta$ -system, and then that the root is empty. We may then assume that  $A = \{p\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ , where  $a_p\xi = \{x^1 \dots x_\ell^n\}$ . Let  $T\xi = F_p\xi$ . Thinning again, we may assume  $\alpha > \xi$  implies  $x_\alpha^i \notin T\xi$  Now, fix  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that whenever  $I_1 \dots I_n$  are rational intervals, if there exists a  $\xi$  such that each  $x_\xi^i \in I_i$   $(i = 1 \dots n)$ , then there is such a  $\xi$  less than  $\alpha$ . Since  $T_\alpha$  has countable closure, we may find a  $\xi$  such that each  $x_\xi^i \notin T_\alpha$ , and then fix rational neighborhoods  $I_i$  of each  $x_\xi^i$  missing  $T_\alpha$ . Now, by our assumption on  $\alpha$ , we can choose  $\xi < \alpha$  – but then  $p\xi$  and  $p_\alpha$  are compatible.