# Qualifying Exam Logic August 2002

Set Theory

### Instructions:

If you signed up for Computability Theory, do two E and two C problems. If you signed up for Model Theory, do two E and two M problems. If you signed up for Set Theory, do two E and two S problems.

If you think that a problem has been stated incorrectly, mention this to the proctor and indicate your interpretation in your solution. In such cases, do not interpret the problem in such a way that it becomes trivial.

**E1.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal with  $\aleph_0 \leq \kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:

- 1. For all  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $|X| = \kappa$ , there is a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|X \cap (-\infty, q)| = |X \cap (q, +\infty)| = \kappa$ .
- 2.  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ .

**E2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  have one binary relation < and one unary relation U. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the model ( $\mathbb{R}$ ;  $< \mathbb{Q}$ ), where < is the usual order; that is, U is interpreted as the subset  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Prove that the theory of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is decidable.

**E3.** Prove that the theory of  $(\mathbb{C}; +, \cdot, \exp)$  is undecidable. Here, exp denotes the exponential function,  $e^z$ .

The *theory* of a model is the set of sentences true in that model.

## Computability Theory

## C1. Let g be computable. Show there exists e such that

- (a)  $W_e$  is computable and
- (b) if e' is the least such that  $W_{e'} = \overline{W_e}$ , then e' > g(e).

**C2.** Prove or disprove: For every total computable function f, there exists e such that  $W_{f(f(e))} = W_{f(e)}$ .

**C3.** Prove or disprove: There are simple sets A and B such that  $A \cap B$  is not simple.

 $W_a$  (for  $a \in \omega$ ) denotes the  $a^{\text{th}}$  computably enumerable set (in some standard enumeration).  $\overline{X}$  denotes  $\omega \setminus X$ . You can assume that  $W_a$  is the domain of the  $a^{\text{th}}$  partial computable function,  $\varphi_a$ .

### Model Theory

**M1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language,  $\phi(x, y)$  an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula and  $\mathfrak{M}$  an  $\omega_1$ -saturated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. Assume that there is a sequence  $(a_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \phi(a_i, a_j) \quad \iff \quad i < j \qquad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(a) Prove that there is a set  $(b_i : i \in \mathbb{Q})$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \phi(b_i, b_j) \quad \iff \quad i < j \qquad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

(b) Conclude that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is not  $\omega$ -stable, that is, there is a countable  $B \subseteq M$  with uncountably many 1-types over B.

For the next problem, we need the following definitions: let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the collection of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$f \sim g \quad \iff \quad \text{there is } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x > a.$$

Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we denote by [f] the equivalence class of f under  $\sim$  (called the **germ** of f at  $= \infty$ ), and we put  $\mathcal{HH} = \mathcal{F} / \sim$ . We also let < be a partial ordering on  $\mathcal{HH}$  defined by

$$[f] < [g] \qquad \iff \qquad \text{there is } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(x) < g(x) \text{ for all } x > a.$$

**M2.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an expansion of the real field  $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1)$ , and put  $\mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{R}) = \{[f] : f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is definable in } \mathcal{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{HH}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{R}$  is o-minimal iff  $\mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{R})$  is totally ordered by <. (Hint: use the Monotonicity Theorem for  $\Longrightarrow$  and characteristic functions for  $\Leftarrow$ .)

**M3.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language and  $\mathcal{M}$  an infinite, strongly minimal  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. Below we let  $\Pi_{n-1} : M^n \longrightarrow M^{n-1}$  be the projection on the first n-1 coordinates, and for a set  $S \subseteq M^n$  and  $x' \in M^{n-1}$ , we put  $S_{x'} = \{x_n \in M : (x', x_n) \in S\}.$ 

We define by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  what it means for a definable set  $B \subseteq M^n$  to be  $\sigma$ -finite, where  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ :

- if n = 1, then B is 0-finite iff B is finite and 1-finite iff B is cofinite;
- if n > 1 and  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ , then B is  $\sigma$ -finite iff the set  $\prod_{n-1}(B)$  is  $\sigma'$ -finite, where  $\sigma' \in \{0, 1\}^{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$  is given by  $\sigma'(i) = \sigma(i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and  $B_{x'}$  is
  - finite for all  $x' \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (B)$  if  $\sigma(n) = 0$ ,
  - cofinite for all  $x' \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (B)$  if  $\sigma(n) = 1$ .
- (a) Let  $B = \phi(M^n)$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. Assume that  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$  and B is  $\sigma$ -finite, and put  $\operatorname{gdim}(B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma(i)$ . Prove that

$$\operatorname{gdim}(B) = \max\{\operatorname{dim}(a): a \in \phi((M^*)^n), \mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}^*\},\$$

where  $\dim(a)$  is the dimension of the tuple a in the sense of the pregeometry defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  by the (model-theoretic) algebraic closure operation.

(b) Let  $A = \phi(M^n)$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula, and put  $\operatorname{gdim}(A) = \max \{ \operatorname{gdim}(B) : B \subseteq A \text{ and } B \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite for some } \sigma \}$ . Prove that

 $gdim(A) = \max\{dim(a): a \in \phi((M^*)^n), \mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}^*\}.$ 

### Set Theory

**S1.** Call  $B \subseteq \omega$  big iff  $\{n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots, 2n\} \subset B$  for infinitely many n. Assume  $MA(\kappa)$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of big subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite intersections and  $|\mathcal{F}| < \kappa$  Prove that there is a big set C such that  $C \subseteq^* B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**S2.** Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal and  $\cdot$  a function from  $\kappa \times \kappa$  into  $\kappa$  which makes  $(\kappa, \cdot)$  a group, with identity element 1. Observe that there is a club (closed unbounded set)  $C \subseteq \kappa$  such that  $(\alpha, \cdot)$  is a group for all  $\alpha \in C$ . Now, assume that  $(\kappa, \cdot)$  is simple. Prove that there is a club  $C \subseteq \kappa$  such that  $(\alpha, \cdot)$  is simple for all  $\alpha \in C$ .

*Remark.* The group G is simple iff it has no normal subgroups other than G or  $\{1\}$ , but for this problem, it may be better to say that G is *non*-simple iff there are elements  $a, b \neq 1$  such that b is not a finite product of elements from  $\{x^{-1}ax : x \in G\} \cup \{x^{-1}a^{-1}x : x \in G\}$ 

**S3.** Let M be a countable transitive model of ZFC. Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be Cohen forcing,  $Fn(\omega \times \omega, 2)$ . Let G be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over M, and let  $F = \bigcup G : \omega \times \omega \to 2$ . Via binary expansion, F codes  $\omega$  real numbers,  $r_n \in [0,1]$ , where  $r_n = \sum_{i \in \omega} F(\omega \cdot n + i) \cdot 2^{-i-1}$ . In M[G] we have  $E = \{r_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Prove that E is densely ordered; that is if  $x, y \in E$  and x < y, then x < z < y for some  $z \in E$ .

#### Answers

E1. If  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$  and  $\lambda_n \nearrow \kappa$ , then one can put a set of size  $\lambda_n$  in (n, n+1) to get a counter-example. If  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ , let  $A = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : |X \cap (-\infty, q)| = \kappa\}$  and  $B = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : |X \cap (q, +\infty))| = \kappa\}$ . Note that  $A \cup B = \mathbb{Q}$ . Also,  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$  (by  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ ). If  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , we are done. If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then B < A; let

using the fact that at least one of  $X \cap (-\infty, z)$  and  $X \cap (z, +\infty)$ ) has size  $\kappa$ .

E2. Let T be the theory which says that < is a dense total order without endpoints and U is a dense subset, and prove that T is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical, and hence complete.

E3. Since the theory of  $(\mathbb{Z}; +, \cdot)$  is well-known to be undecidable, it is sufficient to show how to define  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the model  $(\mathbb{C}; +, \cdot, \exp)$ . Note that 0, 1, -1 are all definable. Then, you can define  $A := \{z : e^z = 1\} = \{2n\pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\{w : \forall u \in A[wu \in A]\}$ .

C1. See Rogers' book, p.216. Make  $W_{f(x)}$  be finite but intersect each nonempty  $W_i$  for  $i \leq g(x)$ .

C2. Fix a, b such that  $W_a \neq W_b$ . Let f(x) be a when  $x \neq a$  and let f(a) = b. If  $e \neq a$ , then f(e) = a and f(f(e)) = b. If e = a, then f(e) = b and f(f(e)) = a. In either case,  $W_{f(f(e))} \neq W_{f(e)}$ .

M1. By compactness, let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an elementary extension of  $\mathfrak{M}$  containing elements  $b_i$  as in part (a). List  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $\{i_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\omega_1$ -saturated, we can inductively find elements  $d_{i_n} \in M$  such that  $(b_{i_0}, b_{i_1}, \ldots, b_{i_n})$  and  $(d_{i_0}, d_{i_1}, \ldots, d_{i_n})$  realize the same type.

For part (b), if  $B = \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ , then there is at least one 1-type over B corresponding to each proper Dedekind cut in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

S1. It is a standard result that there is an infinite C such that  $C \subseteq^* B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . The problem can be solved using the same partial order used to produce the infinite C. Or, for each  $A \subseteq \omega$ , let

$$\widehat{A} = \{n : \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\} \subset A\}$$

Get an infinite X such that  $X \subseteq^* \widehat{B}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , and let

$$C = \bigcup_{n \in X} \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\}$$
.

S2. By a standard argument, there is a club D such that all  $\alpha \in D$  are limit ordinals satisfying  $(\alpha, \cdot) \prec (\kappa, \cdot)$ . If the result fails, there is a stationary

 $S \subseteq D$  such that for  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $(\alpha, \cdot)$  is not simple, so that there are ordinals  $a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha} < \alpha$  with  $b_{\alpha}$  not a finite product of elements from

$$\{x^{-1}a_{\alpha}x : x < \alpha\} \cup \{x^{-1}a_{\alpha}^{-1}x : x < \alpha\}$$

By the pressing-down lemma, fix a stationary T and ordinals  $a, b < \kappa$  such that  $a_{\alpha} = a$  and  $b_{\alpha} = b$  for all  $\alpha \in T$ . But then, since T is unbounded, b is not a finite product of elements from

$$\{x^{-1}ax : x < \kappa\} \cup \{x^{-1}a^{-1}x : x < \kappa\} ,$$

contradicting the assumption that  $(\kappa, \cdot)$  is simple.

S3. One can do this directly, but it may be easier to show that E is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . To do this, it is sufficient to show that for each  $a, b \in Q$  and each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , there is an  $n \in \omega$  and a  $q \leq p$  such that  $q \Vdash \check{a} < \dot{r}_n < \check{b}$ . Here, one can choose any n such that p does not mention  $r_n$  (that is,  $\omega \cdot n + i$ )  $\notin \text{dom}(p)$ for all i).