# Qualifying Exam Logic January 2005

## Instructions:

If you signed up for Computability Theory, do two E and two C problems. If you signed up for Model Theory, do two E and two M problems. If you signed up for Set Theory, do two E and two S problems.

If you think that a problem has been stated incorrectly, mention this to the proctor and indicate your interpretation in your solution. In such cases, do not interpret the problem in such a way that it becomes trivial.

**E1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be the theory of directed acyclic graphs. Prove that  $\Sigma$  is not finitely axiomatizable. Here,  $\mathcal{L} = \{E\}$ , where E is binary, and  $\Sigma = \{\varphi_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\}$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is  $\neg \exists x [xEx], \varphi_2$  is  $\neg \exists x \exists y [xEy \land yEx]$ , and  $\varphi_n$  is

 $\neg \exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n \left[ x_1 E x_2 \land x_2 E x_3 \land \cdots \land x_{n-1} E x_n \land x_n E x_1 \right]$ 

"Not finitely axiomatizable" means that there is no finite  $\Pi$  in the same  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  have the same models.

**E2.** Prove that the Continuum Hypothesis is equivalent to the statement that there is a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of size  $\aleph_1$  such that both A and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$  meet every perfect subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A set is perfect iff it is closed and infinite and has no isolated points.

**E3.** Let TOWOE be the theory of total orders without endpoints; here  $\mathcal{L} = \{<\}$ , and TOWOE includes, besides the axioms for total order, the sentences  $\forall x \exists y [x < y]$  and  $\forall x \exists y [y < x]$ . Prove that TOWOE does not admit quantifier elimination; that is, there is a formula  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots x_n)$  which is not provably equivalent (from TOWOE) to any quantifier-free formula.

### Computability Theory

**C1.** Prove that there are  $m, n \in \omega$  such that  $m \neq n$  and  $W_m \cap W_n = \{m, n\}$ .

**C2.** Prove there exists an infinite computable subtree  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  such that T does not contain an infinite computable chain or an infinite computable antichain.

T is a subtree of  $\omega^{<\omega}$  means that  $\sigma \subseteq \tau \in T$  implies  $\sigma \in T$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in \omega^{<\omega}$ .

 $C \subseteq T$  is a chain iff  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  or  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in C$ .

 $A \subseteq T$  is an antichain iff  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  for  $\sigma, \tau \in T$  just in case  $\sigma = \tau$ .

**C3.** Let  $Q_S = \{e \mid |W_e| \in S\}$ . Prove that for every finite nonempty set S of positive integers there exists an n such that  $Q_S$  is n-c.e.-complete. *Hint.* If |S| = 1 then  $Q_S$  is 2-c.e.-complete.

 $W_e \subseteq \omega$  is the  $e^{\text{th}}$  c.e. set, in some standard enumeration.

A is 2-c.e. iff there exists c.e. sets  $B \supseteq C$  such that  $A = B \setminus C$ .

A is n-c.e. iff there exists c.e. sets  $A_i$  for i < n such that  $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots$  and for all x:

 $x \in A$  iff  $x \in A_0$  and the largest *i* such that  $x \in A_i$  is even.

A is  $\Gamma$ -complete iff  $\Gamma = \{B : B \leq_m A\}.$ 

# Model Theory

**M1.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be countable and well-ordered in type  $\omega^2$ . Prove that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a countable saturated elementary extension.

**M2.** In the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , define  $\sqrt{z}$  by  $\sqrt{re^{i\theta}} = \sqrt{r} \cdot e^{i\theta/2}$  when  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the theory of the resulting structure, using  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, \sqrt{-}\}$ . Prove that  $\Sigma$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -categorical.

**M3.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, <\}$ . Define  $\mathfrak{A}$  so that  $A = \omega$  and  $+, \cdot, <$  have their standard meaning. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$  and the universe of  $\mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$  is  $A^{\omega}/\mathcal{U} = \{[f] : f \in \omega^{\omega}\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the submodel of  $\mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$  consisting of all [f] such that f is first-order definable in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Prove that  $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B} \prec \mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$ .

#### Set Theory

**S1.** Assume  $MA(\aleph_1)$ . Fix  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $|A| = |B| = \aleph_1$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let S and T be countable dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that there is a continuous  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq S$  and  $f(B) \subseteq T$ .

**S2.** Let M be a countable transitive model for ZFC. Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be Cohen forcing — that is, finite partial functions from  $\omega$  to 2. Let  $(X; <) \in M$  be a dense total order. Let G be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over M. Prove that in M[G], (X; <) cannot be Dedekind-complete.

A total order is *Dedekind-complete* iff every subset has a greatest lower bound and a least upper bound. Applying this with the empty subset, X must have a smallest and a largest element.

**S3.** Define the sequence  $\langle C_{\alpha} : \alpha \in S \rangle$  to be *club guessing* iff:

- 1.  $S \subseteq \omega_1$  is a stationary set of limit ordinals.
- 2.  $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha$  is unbounded in  $\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in S$ .

3. For every club  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  there exists  $\alpha \in S$  such that  $C_{\alpha} \subseteq E$ .

Define  $\langle C_{\alpha} : \alpha \in S \rangle$  to be almost club guessing iff 1,2, and

3'. For every club  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  there exists  $\alpha \in S$  and there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $(C_{\alpha} \setminus \beta) \subseteq E$ .

Prove that if  $\langle C_{\alpha} : \alpha \in S \rangle$  is almost club guessing, then there exists  $\beta < \omega_1$  such that  $\langle C_{\alpha} \setminus \beta : \alpha \in S \setminus \beta \rangle$  is club guessing.

### Answers

**E1.** Suppose that  $\Pi$  is finite and  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  have the same models. Since  $\Pi$  is finite, there is a finite n such that  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n \vdash \bigwedge \Pi$ . Now, let  $\mathfrak{A}$  consist of one cycle of length n + 1. Then  $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n$  so  $\mathfrak{A} \models \Pi$ , but  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \Sigma$ , a contradiction.

**E2.** For  $\rightarrow$ , let A be any Bernstein set. For  $\leftarrow$ , note that there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  disjoint perfect sets. If they all meet A, then  $|A| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

**E3.** Let  $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$  be  $\exists y [x_1 < y < x_2]$ , and suppose that  $\psi(x_1, x_2)$  is quantifier-free. Let  $A = (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $A \models \neg \varphi[0, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{R} \models \varphi[0, 1]$ , while  $A \models \psi[0, 1]$  iff  $\mathbb{R} \models \psi[0, 1]$ . It follows that TOWOE  $\not \forall \forall x_1, x_2 [\varphi(x_1, x_2) \leftrightarrow \psi(x_1, x_2)]$ .

**C1.** First, fix m such that  $\varphi_m$  is total, so that  $W_m = \omega$ . Now, let f(x, y) = 0 whenever  $y \in \{x, m\}$ , and let f(x, y) be undefined otherwise. By the Recursion Theorem, fix n such that  $\varphi_n(y) = f(n, y)$  for all y. Then  $W_m \cap W_n = W_n = \{m, n\}$ , and  $m \neq n$  because  $\varphi_m \neq \varphi_n$ .

C2. Build the computable tree T level by level, cutting off high enough to diagonalize against computable chains and antichains without making the tree finite.

**C3.** If S has k many gaps then  $Q_S$  is 2(k + 1)-c.e.-complete. When  $S = \{r\}$ , the proof is: Upper bound: by inspection. Lower bound: Given a 2-c.e. set C, enumerate r many numbers into a c.e. set  $W_{f(n)}$  when n enters C, and enumerate more numbers into  $W_{f(n)}$  when n leaves C. For the general case, we get  $Q_S$  to be 2n-c.e.-m-complete where n is the number of maximal intervals [x, y] contained in S.

**M1.** By taking elementary extensions  $\omega$  times, we get a  $\mathfrak{B} \succ \mathfrak{A}$  of order type  $(\omega + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbb{Q}) \cdot (\omega + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbb{Q})$ ; here  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbb{Q}$  means  $\mathbb{Q}$  blocks of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Now, let  $\tau(x)$  be a type over a finite subset of B. Taking elementary extensions  $\omega$  more times, we get  $\tau$  realized by some c in some  $\mathfrak{C} \succ \mathfrak{B}$  of the same order type. But then we can automorph c back into B, so  $\tau$  is realized in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is saturated.

One might also do this first in the case where  $\mathfrak{A}$  has order type  $\omega$ , where it's a bit simpler. Then, use the fact that in  $(\omega; <)$ , you can define the order type  $\omega^2$  (as an ordering of pairs). This also shows that the result holds whenever the order type of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is any infinite ordinal  $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$ .

The result is false for  $\alpha \geq \omega^{\omega}$ . To see this, note that given positive integers  $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k$ , there is a formula  $\theta(x)$  such that  $(\alpha; <) \models \theta(\xi)$  iff  $\xi = \eta + \omega^{n_1} + \omega^{n_2} + \cdots + \omega^{n_k}$  for some  $\eta < \xi$ . Thus, the theory of  $(\alpha; <)$  has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  1-types, so it has no countable saturated model. **M2.**  $\Sigma$  is not even  $\omega$ -stable, since  $\mathbb{C}$  realizes  $2^{\aleph_0}$  types over  $\emptyset$ . To see this, define  $S = \{z : \sqrt{z^2} = z\}$ . Note that  $e^{i\theta} \in S$  when  $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$  and  $e^{i\theta} \notin S$  when  $\pi/2 < \theta < 3\pi/2$ . For any  $f \in \{0,1\}^{\omega}$ , let  $\theta_f = \pi \sum_n f(n) 4^{-n}$  and let  $z_f = e^{i\theta_f}$ . Then  $(z_f)^{4^k} \in S$  iff f(k) = 0.

Actually,  $\Sigma$  is not  $\kappa$ -stable for any  $\kappa$ , since the formula " $y - x \in S$ " defines a total order when restricted to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**M3.** It is sufficient to prove that  $\mathfrak{B} \prec \mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$ ; then  $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$  will follow using  $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U}$ . Applying the Tarski–Vaught test, it is sufficient to prove that whenever  $\mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U} \models \exists x \varphi([f_1], \ldots, [f_n], x)$  with  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  definable in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there is a definable g such that  $\mathfrak{A}^{\omega}/\mathcal{U} \models \varphi([f_1], \ldots, [f_n], [g])$ . Now by Loś's Theorem,  $\mathfrak{A} \models \exists x \varphi(f_1(i), \ldots, f_n(i), x)$  for almost every  $i \in \omega$ , so define g(i) to be the least  $k \in \omega$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi(f_1(i), \ldots, f_n(i), k)$ .

**S1.** Let  $\mathbb P$  be the set of all finite partial functions from  $\mathbb R$  to  $\mathbb R$  such that

- 1.  $p(A \cap \operatorname{dom}(p)) \subseteq S$
- 2.  $p(B \cap \operatorname{dom}(p)) \subseteq T$
- 3. |p(x) p(y)| < |x y| whenever  $\{x, y\} \in [\text{dom}(p)]^2$ .

Use a  $\Delta$ -system argument to prove that  $\mathbb{P}$  has the ccc. Then, meet  $\aleph_1$  dense sets to get a filter G with  $g = \bigcup G$  such that  $A \cup B \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(g)$  and  $\operatorname{dom}(g)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, using (3), g extends uniquely to a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**S2.** In M, let  $\varphi : \mathbb{Q} \to X$  be 1-1 and order-preserving. In M[G], we have  $g = \bigcup G : \omega \to 2$ , and let  $r = \sum_n g(n)2^{-n}$ . Then r is irrational, and there is no  $x \in X$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, r)) < x < \varphi(\mathbb{Q} \cap (r, +\infty))$ .

**S3.** For any  $\beta < \omega_1$ , set  $\mathcal{C}_{\beta} = \langle C_{\alpha} \setminus \beta : \alpha \in S \setminus \beta \rangle$ . Then clearly (1) still holds for  $\mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ , and (2) also holds whenever  $\beta$  is a successor ordinal (otherwise, maybe  $\beta \in S$ , and then  $\beta \in S \setminus \beta$  but  $\mathcal{C}_{\beta} \setminus \beta = \emptyset$ ).

If the result fails, then for each  $\delta < \omega_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\delta+1}$  is not club guessing, so we can choose a club  $E_{\delta}$  so that there is no  $\alpha$  in S with  $\alpha > \delta$  and  $(C_{\alpha} \setminus (\delta + 1)) \subseteq E_{\delta}$ . Then the diagonal intersection, D, is also a club:

$$D = \left\{ \gamma < \omega_1 : \gamma \in \bigcap \{ E_\delta : \delta < \gamma \} \right\}$$

Applying (3'), fix  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\delta < \alpha$  such that  $(C_{\alpha} \setminus \delta) \subseteq D$ . Then

$$(C_{\alpha} \setminus (\delta + 1)) \subseteq (D \setminus (\delta + 1)) \subseteq E_{\delta}$$
,

a contradiction.