# Scott Complexity and Finitely $\alpha$ -generated Structures

Rachael Alvir University of Notre Dame

September 2021

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Everything in this talk is motivated by a theorem of Scott's:

#### Scott's Isomorphism Theorem

Every countable structure can be described up to isomorphism (among countable structures) by a sentence  $\varphi$  of  $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ .

Such a sentence is called a **Scott sentence** for *A*.

This is exactly the kind of categoricity result which is not possible in the finitary first-order context.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- A  $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$  formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula of *L*.
- A  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a formula of the form  $\bigvee_{i \in \omega} \exists \bar{x} \phi_i(\bar{x})$  where each  $\phi_i$  is  $\Pi_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .
- A Π<sub>α</sub> formula is the negation of a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula. Equivalently, a formula of the form Λ<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∀x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Σ<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>
- A d- $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a finite conjunction of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formulas

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- A  $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$  formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula of L.
- A Σ<sub>α</sub> formula is a formula of the form V<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∃x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Π<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>
- A Π<sub>α</sub> formula is the negation of a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula. Equivalently, a formula of the form Λ<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∀x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Σ<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>
- A d- $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a finite conjunction of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formulas

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

- A  $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$  formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula of L.
- A  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a formula of the form  $\bigvee_{i \in \omega} \exists \bar{x} \phi_i(\bar{x})$  where each  $\phi_i$  is  $\Pi_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .
- A Π<sub>α</sub> formula is the negation of a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula. Equivalently, a formula of the form Λ<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∀x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Σ<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>
- A d- $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a finite conjunction of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formulas

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- A  $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$  formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula of L.
- A  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a formula of the form  $\bigvee_{i \in \omega} \exists \bar{x} \phi_i(\bar{x})$  where each  $\phi_i$  is  $\Pi_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .
- A Π<sub>α</sub> formula is the negation of a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula. Equivalently, a formula of the form Λ<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∀x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Σ<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>

A d- $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a finite conjunction of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formulas

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- A  $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0$  formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula of L.
- A  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a formula of the form  $\bigvee_{i \in \omega} \exists \bar{x} \phi_i(\bar{x})$  where each  $\phi_i$  is  $\Pi_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .
- A Π<sub>α</sub> formula is the negation of a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula. Equivalently, a formula of the form Λ<sub>i∈ω</sub> ∀x̄φ<sub>i</sub>(x̄) where each φ<sub>i</sub> is Σ<sub>β</sub> for β < α.</li>
- A  $d\text{-}\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formula is a finite conjunction of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  formulas

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

The standard proof of Scott's isomorphism theorem uses the following fact:

#### Fact

For any structure A, there is some ordinal  $\alpha$  such that whenever two finite tuples agree on all  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  formulas, they must be automorphic.

The least such  $\alpha$ , denoted r(A), is one definition of the *Scott Rank* of *A*, and is thought be an "internal" measure of *A*'s descriptive complexity.

The standard proof of Scott's isomorphism theorem uses the following fact:

#### Fact

For any structure A, there is some ordinal  $\alpha$  such that whenever two finite tuples agree on all  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  formulas, they must be automorphic.

The least such  $\alpha$ , denoted **r(A)**, is one definition of the *Scott Rank* of *A*, and is thought be an "internal" measure of *A*'s descriptive complexity.

Unfortunately, many non-equivalent definitions of Scott Rank exist in the literature. Antonio Montalban in "A Robuster Scott Rank" argued to standardize the following definition:

#### Definition (A. Montalban)

The **(Categoricity) Scott Rank** of *A* is the least  $\alpha$  such that *A* has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.

Note briefly that the complexity of a Scott sentence gives an "external" measure of the structure's complexity.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- O The set *lso*(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.

And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- Solution The set Iso(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.

And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- O The set *Iso*(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.

And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- O The set *Iso*(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.

And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- O The set *Iso*(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.
- And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Montalban believed this notion was most robust, having many other conditions equivalent to it.

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- O The automorphism orbit of any tuple can be defined by a Σ<sub>α</sub> formula (without parameters).
- O The set *Iso*(A) of presentations of A is Π<sub>α+1</sub> in the Borel hierarchy.
- A is uniformly boldface  $\Delta_{\alpha}$ -categorical.
- And so on...

In other words, Scott Sentences are also related to notions in computability theory and descriptive set theory.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Why just consider  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentences?

**Fact:** A structure has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff there is some finite tuple  $\bar{c}$  such that  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  Scott sentence.

#### Theorem (A. Miller)

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , A has a Scott sentence that is  $d-\Sigma_{<\alpha}$  iff it has one that is  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and one that is  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ .

Miller's result implies a unique least-complexity Scott sentence for the structure  $(\Pi_{\alpha}, \Sigma_{\alpha}, d-\Sigma_{\alpha})$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Why just consider  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentences?

**Fact:** A structure has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff there is some finite tuple  $\bar{c}$  such that  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  Scott sentence.

## Theorem (A. Miller)

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , A has a Scott sentence that is  $d-\Sigma_{<\alpha}$  iff it has one that is  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and one that is  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ .

Miller's result implies a unique least-complexity Scott sentence for the structure  $(\Pi_{\alpha}, \Sigma_{\alpha}, d-\Sigma_{\alpha})$ .

Why just consider  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentences?

**Fact:** A structure has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff there is some finite tuple  $\bar{c}$  such that  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  Scott sentence.

## Theorem (A. Miller)

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ , A has a Scott sentence that is  $d-\Sigma_{<\alpha}$  iff it has one that is  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  and one that is  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ .

Miller's result implies a unique least-complexity Scott sentence for the structure  $(\Pi_{\alpha}, \Sigma_{\alpha}, d-\Sigma_{\alpha})$ .

# Definition (R.A\*, M. Harrison-Trainor, D. Turetsky, N. Greenberg)

The **Scott Complexity** of a structure A is the least complexity of a Scott sentence for A.

Scott Complexity is finer than Scott Rank, and just as robust.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

In previous work with Dino Rossegger, we gave sharp upper bounds on the Scott Complexity of an arbitrary scattered linear order. To give a  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence for a scattered linear order A, we had to identify the tuple  $\bar{c}$  such that  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  Scott sentence.

In doing so, we noticed that such a tuple acted in many ways like the generating tuple of the structure, even though the structure was not finitely generated. Call such a tuple an  $\alpha$ -generator for A.

In previous work with Dino Rossegger, we gave sharp upper bounds on the Scott Complexity of an arbitrary scattered linear order. To give a  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence for a scattered linear order A, we had to identify the tuple  $\bar{c}$  such that  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  Scott sentence.

In doing so, we noticed that such a tuple acted in many ways like the generating tuple of the structure, even though the structure was not finitely generated. Call such a tuple an  $\alpha$ -generator for A.

This tuple is important and has several equivalent characterizations.

## Observation

The following are equivalent:

- The structure  $(A, \bar{c})$  has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence.
- The tuple  $\bar{c}$  is a tuple over which no other tuple is  $\alpha$ -free.
- The structure A has a Scott family of  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  sentences with parameters from  $\bar{c}$ .

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

A tuple  $\alpha$ -free over  $\bar{c}$  is just a "witness" to the fact that a relation does not have a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition with parameters  $\bar{c}$ . It happens to have a combinatorial characterization which can be useful in practice.

#### Theorem

A relation R has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition over a tuple of parameters  $\overline{c}$  iff there is no tuple  $\overline{a}$  which is  $\alpha$ -free for R over  $\overline{c}$ .

For a family of relations, each has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition with parameters  $\bar{c}$  iff no tuple is  $\alpha$ -free for the family over  $\bar{c}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A tuple  $\alpha$ -free over  $\bar{c}$  is just a "witness" to the fact that a relation does not have a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition with parameters  $\bar{c}$ . It happens to have a combinatorial characterization which can be useful in practice.

#### Theorem

A relation R has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition over a tuple of parameters  $\bar{c}$  iff there is no tuple  $\bar{a}$  which is  $\alpha$ -free for R over  $\bar{c}$ .

For a family of relations, each has a  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  definition with parameters  $\bar{c}$  iff no tuple is  $\alpha$ -free for the family over  $\bar{c}$ .

While one could call the desired tuple  $\bar{c}$  "a tuple over which no other tuple of the structure is  $\alpha$ -free," this is cumbersome.

#### Definition

A tuple  $\bar{c}$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -generator for a structure A if:

- the automorphism orbit of each finite tuple of A is Σ<sub>α</sub>-definable over c̄.
  - ) The ordinal lpha is the least such that (1) holds.

A structure A with an  $\alpha$ -generator is called an  $\alpha$ -generated structure. These are exactly the structures with Scott complexity  $\Sigma_{\alpha+2}$ , d- $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$ , or  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  for limit  $\alpha$ .

While one could call the desired tuple  $\bar{c}$  "a tuple over which no other tuple of the structure is  $\alpha$ -free," this is cumbersome.

#### Definition

A tuple  $\bar{c}$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -generator for a structure A if:

- the automorphism orbit of each finite tuple of A is Σ<sub>α</sub>-definable over c̄.
- **2** The ordinal  $\alpha$  is the least such that (1) holds.

A structure A with an  $\alpha$ -generator is called an  $\alpha$ -generated structure. These are exactly the structures with Scott complexity  $\Sigma_{\alpha+2}$ , d- $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$ , or  $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  for limit  $\alpha$ .

The structure  $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}$  is finitely 2-generated and has Scott complexity  $d\text{-}\Sigma_3.$ 

It is not finitely generated in the language of linear orders, but is finitely generated in the language with the ordering, the predecessor, and the successor relations.

The generating tuples for  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$  in this expanded language are precisely the tuples which are 2-generators for  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$  as a linear order.

## Every finitely generated structure is almost rigid.

In the case where A is almost rigid, being finitely  $\alpha$ -generated and being finitely generated (after some alterations) coincide.

## Lemma (R.A.\*)

Suppose that A is finitely  $\alpha$ -generated by  $\bar{c}$  and almost rigid, witnessed by  $\bar{d}$ . Let  $\{\phi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}) : \bar{a} \in A\}$  be the family of  $\Sigma_{<\alpha}$ -formulas defining the automorphism orbits of  $(A, \bar{c}\bar{d})$ . In the definitional expansion which includes a relation predicate for each  $\phi_{\bar{a}}$ , A is finitely generated by  $\bar{c}\bar{d}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Every finitely generated structure is almost rigid.

In the case where A is almost rigid, being finitely  $\alpha$ -generated and being finitely generated (after some alterations) coincide.

## Lemma (R.A.\*)

Suppose that A is finitely  $\alpha$ -generated by  $\bar{c}$  and almost rigid, witnessed by  $\bar{d}$ . Let  $\{\phi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}) : \bar{a} \in A\}$  be the family of  $\Sigma_{<\alpha}$ -formulas defining the automorphism orbits of  $(A, \bar{c}\bar{d})$ . In the definitional expansion which includes a relation predicate for each  $\phi_{\bar{a}}$ , A is finitely generated by  $\bar{c}\bar{d}$ .

Every finitely generated structure is almost rigid.

In the case where A is almost rigid, being finitely  $\alpha$ -generated and being finitely generated (after some alterations) coincide.

# Lemma (R.A.\*)

Suppose that A is finitely  $\alpha$ -generated by  $\bar{c}$  and almost rigid, witnessed by  $\bar{d}$ . Let  $\{\phi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}) : \bar{a} \in A\}$  be the family of  $\Sigma_{<\alpha}$ -formulas defining the automorphism orbits of  $(A, \bar{c}\bar{d})$ . In the definitional expansion which includes a relation predicate for each  $\phi_{\bar{a}}$ , A is finitely generated by  $\bar{c}\bar{d}$ .

# Theorem (R.A.\*)

A structure A has a d- $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff some  $\alpha$ -generator has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  automorphism orbit.

# This generalizes a theorem about finitely generated groups obtained with Julia Knight and Charlie McCoy.

In fact, more is true.

## Theorem (R.A.\*)

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence
- **2** A has a Scott Family of  $\Pi_{<\alpha}$  formulas.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Theorem (R.A.\*)

A structure A has a d- $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff some  $\alpha$ -generator has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  automorphism orbit.

This generalizes a theorem about finitely generated groups obtained with Julia Knight and Charlie McCoy. In fact, more is true.

## Theorem (R.A.\*)

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence
- **2** A has a Scott Family of  $\Pi_{<\alpha}$  formulas.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Theorem (R.A.\*)

A structure A has a d- $\Sigma_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff some  $\alpha$ -generator has a  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  automorphism orbit.

This generalizes a theorem about finitely generated groups obtained with Julia Knight and Charlie McCoy. In fact, more is true.

## Theorem (R.A.\*)

The following are equivalent:

- A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence
- **2** A has a Scott Family of  $\Pi_{<\alpha}$  formulas.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Recall that r(A) is the least ordinal $\alpha$ such that whenever two finite tuples in A agree on all $\Pi_{\alpha}$ formulas, they must be automorphic.

# Corollary (R.A.\*)

For a structure A,  $r(A) = \alpha$  iff  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that A has a  $\prod_{\alpha+2}$  Scott sentence.

# Connecting Old Notions of Scott Rank

# Corollary (R.A.\*)

For a structure A,  $r(A) = \alpha$  iff  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that A has a  $\prod_{\alpha+2}$  Scott sentence.

Proof Sketch: Note first that  $r(A) = \alpha$  iff  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that the automorphism orbits of A are  $\Pi_{\alpha}$ -definable. Then the result follows from the fact that A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff the automorphism orbits of A are  $\Pi_{<\alpha}$ -definable.

## Corollary (R.A.\*)

For a structure A,  $r(A) = \alpha$  iff  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that A has a  $\prod_{\alpha+2}$  Scott sentence.

Proof Sketch: Note first that  $r(A) = \alpha$  iff  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that the automorphism orbits of A are  $\Pi_{\alpha}$ -definable. Then the result follows from the fact that A has a  $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$  Scott sentence iff the automorphism orbits of A are  $\Pi_{<\alpha}$ -definable.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Matthew Harrison-Trainor and Turbo Ho showed that a finitely generated group has Scott complexity  $\Sigma_3$  iff it contains a proper  $\Sigma_1$  elementary substructure isomorphic to itself.

### Conjecture

A finitely  $\alpha$ -generated structure has Scott complexity  $\Sigma_{\alpha+2}$  iff it contains a proper  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$  elementary substructure isomorphic to itself.

# Thank You!

R.A\*, J. Knight, C.McCoy, "Complexity of Scott Sentences." *Fundamenta Mathematicae*. (2020)

R.A.\*, D. Rossegger, "Complexity of Scott Sentences of Scattered Linear Orders." *Journal of Symbolic Logic*. (2020)

R.A.\*, M. Harrison-Trainor, D. Turetsky, N. Greenberg, "Scott Complexity of Countable Structures." *Journal of Symbolic Logic*. (2021)

A. Montalban, "A Robuster Scott Rank." *Journal of Symbolic Logic*. (2015)

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト