

Parametrizing the Ramsey Theory

of Vector Spaces - Iian Smythe (Michigan)

Ramsey theory (idea): If you partition a "large enough" structure into a "small enough" number of pieces, one of those pieces should be "large".

≡

Fix a ctbly infinite dim. vector space E over a ctbl field F , with basis (e_n) .

E.g. $E = \bigoplus_n F$.

Terminology: "vector" means nonzero vector
"subspace" means ∞ -dim (linear) subspace

For a vector $v = \sum a_n e_n$, its support is

$$\text{supp}(v) = \{n \in \omega : a_n \neq 0\}.$$

Write: $v < w$ if $\max(\text{supp}(v)) < \min(\text{supp}(w))$.

Say that (v_n) is a block sequence if $v_n < v_{n+1} \forall n$.

Fact: Every subspace contains a block sequence.

$E^{[\infty]}$ = space of all (infinite) block seq. in E
↳ a Polish subspace of E^ω .

For $X, Y \in E^{[\infty]}$, $X \leq Y$ if $\langle X \rangle \subseteq \langle Y \rangle$.

($X \leq^* Y$ if $X/n \leq Y$ for some n)
↳ X "above n "

($\exists F, |F| > 2$)

Fact: There is a partition of all vectors in E into 2 pieces neither of which contains a subspace.

Fix $X \in E^{[\infty]}$.

Asymptotic Game $F[X]$

I n_0 n_1 n_2 ...

II $v_0 \in \langle X/n_0 \rangle$ $v_1 \in \langle X/n_1 \rangle$ v_2

Require (v_n) is a block seq. ↗

Gowers Game $G[X]$

I $Y_0 \preceq X$ $Y_1 \preceq X$ $Y_2 \preceq X$

II $v_0 \in \langle Y_0 \rangle$ $v_1 \in \langle Y_1 \rangle$

Outcome: $(v_n) \in E^{[\omega]}$

Obs: If I has a strat. to play into some $A \subseteq E^{[\omega]}$ in $F[X]$, they have one in $G[X]$.
Vice-versa for II.

Thm (Rosendal, 2010): If $A \subseteq E^{[\omega]}$ is analytic, then

$\exists X \in E^{[\omega]}$ s.t. either:

(1) I has a strat. in $F[X]$ to play out of A .

OR
(2) II $\dots \dots \dots G[X] \dots \dots$ in to A .

A parametrized form:

Instead of $A \subseteq E^{[\omega]}$, have $\{A_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Thm (S.) IF $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times E^{[\omega]}$ ^{analytic}, then $\exists X \in E^{[\omega]}$

and a perfect $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. either:

can get the same strat. for all $t \in P$

- (1) $\forall t \in P$ (I has a strat. in $F[X]$ to play out of A_t)
 OR
 (2) $\forall t \in P$ (II has a strat. in $G[X]$ to play into A_t)
 where $A_t = \{X : (t, X) \in A\}$.

A consequence:

Thm (5.) For any analytic family $A = \{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of linear trans. $E \rightarrow E$, there is a subspace $V \subseteq E$ and a perfect $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

(A) $\forall t \in P$ ($V \subseteq \ker(T_t)$),

OR

(B) $\forall t \in P$ ($T_t \upharpoonright V$ is injective).

pf: Let $A = \{(t, (v_n)) \in \mathbb{R} \times E^{[\infty]} : T_t(v_0) \neq 0\}$.
 A is analytic. By prev. thm, get $X \in E^{[\infty]}$ and a perfect $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. either (1) or (2) holds.

Sup (1) holds. So there is a strat. σ for I in $F[X]$ to play out of A_t , for every $t \in P$.

Let $n_0 = \sigma(\emptyset)$. Take $v \in \langle X/n_0 \rangle$, then v is a valid response in $F[X]$ against σ .

But then $T_t(v) = 0$. Take $V = \langle X/n_0 \rangle$,
so $V \subseteq \ker(T_t) \forall t \in P$, proving (A).

Say (2) holds. Let $t \in P$.

Claim: $T_t \upharpoonright \langle X \rangle$ has finite-dim. kernel.

Why? If not, $\exists Y \in E^{[\infty]}$ s.t. $\langle Y \rangle \subseteq \ker(T_t \upharpoonright \langle X \rangle)$.

So, $Y \leq X$. Then I can play Y on their 1st
move in $G[X]$, and for any response $v \in \langle Y \rangle$
by II , $T_t(v) = 0$. This contradicts II
having a strat. into A_t .

By the claim, $P = \cup_n P_n$

$P_n = \{t \in P : \ker(T_t \upharpoonright \langle X \rangle) \subseteq \langle e_0, \dots, e_n \rangle\}$.

By PSP, there is some n_0 and some perfect $Q \subseteq P_{n_0}$.

Now: $V = \langle X/n_0 \rangle$ at Q witness (B). \square