# Notes 1 : X-trees

MATH 833 - Fall 2012

Lecturer: Sebastien Roch

References: [SS03, Chapter 1,2]

#### **1** Trees

We begin by recalling basic definitions and properties regarding finite trees.

**DEF 1.1 (Graph)** A (finite, undirected, simple) graph G = (V, E) is an ordered pair consisting of a non-empty finite set V of vertices and a set E of edges each of which is an element of

 $\{\{x, y\} : x, y \in V, \ x \neq y\}.$ 

Two graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\Psi : V_1 \to V_2$  such that  $\{u, v\} \in E_1$  exactly when  $\{\Psi(u), \Psi(v)\} \in E_2$ . The map  $\Psi$  is a graph isomorphism.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph:

- If  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  then u and v are *neighbors* and e is *incident* with u, v.
- The degree d(v) of  $v \in V$  is the number of neighbors of v. A vertex of degree 0 is *isolated* and 1 is *pendant*. An edge incident with a pendant vertex is a *pendant edge*.
- We sometimes write V(G) and E(G) for the vertex and edge sets of G. A graph H is a subgraph of G if V(H) ⊆ V(G) and E(H) ⊆ E(G).

**DEF 1.2 (Connectedness)** A (simple) path in G is a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  such that, for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ ,  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$ . If  $\{v_k, v_1\} \in E$  then the subgraph C with vertices  $V(C) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  and edges  $E(C) = \{v_1, v_2\} \cup \cdots \cup \{v_k, v_1\}$  is a cycle. A graph G = (V, E) is connected if for all  $u, v \in V$ , there is a path between u and v. If G is not connected, the maximal connected subgraphs of G are called its connected components.

Notes 1: X-trees

**DEF 1.3 (Tree)** A forest is a cycle-free graph. A tree T = (V, E) is a connected forest.

Let T = (V, E) be a tree:

- A vertex of T with degree 1 is called a *leaf*. All other vertices of T are *interior* vertices.
- An edge of T is *interior* if both its end vertices are interior. We denote by  $\mathring{V}$  and  $\mathring{E}$  the sets of interior vertices and edges.
- A tree is *binary* if all interior vertices have degree 3.

**THM 1.4 (Characterization of Trees)** Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. G is a tree.
- 2. For all  $u_1, u_2 \in V$  there is a unique path between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .
- 3. *G* is connected and |V| = |E| + 1.

Before giving the proof, we define graph operations that are useful in induction proofs.

**DEF 1.5 (Deletion and Contraction)** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and  $v \in V$ ,  $e \in E$ .

- *G*\*e* is the graph obtained from *G* by deleting *e*.
- G/e is the graph obtained from G by contracting e (and removing).
- $G \setminus v$  is the graph obtained from v by deleting v and all incident edges.

**Proof:** The equivalence of 1. and 2. is clear from the connectedness and cycle-freeness of the tree.

 $I. \Rightarrow 3.$ ) We proceed by induction on the number of vertices. Clearly, 3. is true when |V| = 1. Suppose |V| > 1. Since the graph is finite and cycle-free, it must be that there is at least one leaf v. Then  $G \setminus v = (V', E')$  satisfies 3. and we are done.

 $1. \Leftarrow 3.$ ) We begin with a lemma.

Notes 1: X-trees

**LEM 1.6** If G = (V, E) is connected then  $|V| \le |E| + 1$ .

**Proof:** Clear if |V| = 1. Assume |V| > 1. Contract any edge and use induction to deduce

$$|V| - 1 \le |E| - 1 + 1.$$

We return to the proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose 3. holds but G is not a tree. Then there is an edge e in a cycle and  $G \setminus e = (V', E')$  is connected. But then

$$|V| = |V'| \le |E'| + 1 = |E| - 1 + 1 < |E| + 1,$$

a contradiction.

#### 2 X-trees

We come to the fundamental graph-theoretic definition of this course.

**DEF 1.7** (X-tree) An X-tree  $\mathcal{T} = (T, \phi)$  is an ordered pair where T is a tree and  $\phi : X \to V$  is such that X is finite and  $\phi(X)$  contains all vertices with degree at most 2. (Note: It is neither surjective nor injective.) Two X-trees  $\mathcal{T}_1 = (T_1, \phi_1)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = (T_2, \phi_2)$  are isomorphic if there is a graph isomorphism  $\Psi$  between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $\phi_2 = \Psi \circ \phi_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an X-tree:

- We sometimes write  $T(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\phi(\mathcal{T})$  for T and  $\phi$ .
- $\phi$  is called the *labeling map* of T and T is called the underlying tree.

A special class of X-trees, phylogenetic trees, will be our main object of study. It will become clear when we cover the Splits-Equivalence Theorem and the Tree-Metric Theorem why we need to consider the more general setup of X-trees.

**DEF 1.8 (Phylogenetic tree)** A phylogenetic tree  $\mathcal{T}$  is an X-tree whose labeling map  $\phi$  is a bijection into the leaves of its underlying tree T.  $\mathcal{T}$  is binary if all interior vertices of T have degree 3. We denote by B(n) the set of all binary phylogenetic trees where |X| = n. Unless stated otherwise, we let  $X = \{1, \ldots, n\} \equiv [n]$ .

**THM 1.9** Every  $T \in B(n)$  has n pendant edges, n - 3 interior edges and n - 2 interior vertices.

Notes 1: X-trees

**Proof:** Summing over the degrees amounts to counting each edge twice so that

$$1 \cdot (|V| - |\check{V}|) + 3 \cdot (|\check{V}|) = 2|E|,$$

which implies

$$n + 3(|V| - n) = 1 \cdot (|V| - |\mathring{V}|) + 3 \cdot (|\mathring{V}|) = 2|E| = 2|V| - 2,$$

by Theorem 1.4 and the fact that there are n leaves. Hence |V| = 2n - 2, |E| = 2n - 3, and  $|\mathring{V}| = n - 2$ .

**THM 1.10 (Counting phylogenetic trees)** *Letting* b(n) = |B(n)|*, for all*  $n \ge 3$ 

$$b(n) = 1 \times 3 \times \dots \times (2n-5) \equiv (2n-5)!! \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^n n^{n-2}.$$

**Proof:** We proceed by induction. The result is clear for n = 3. Assume n > 3. Consider the map from B(n) to B(n - 1) which removes leaf n and its incident edge and suppresses the resulting degree 2 node. The result follows from Theorem 1.4. The asymptotic formula is obtained from Stirling's formula,

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-n} n^{n+1/2}.$$

(Recall that  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  indicates  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1$ .)

# **3** Rooted *X*-trees

**DEF 1.11 (Rooted trees)** A rooted tree is a tree T = (V, E) with a single distinguished vertex  $\rho$ . A rooted X-tree is an X-tree  $\mathcal{T} = (T; \phi)$  whose tree T is rooted and whose labeling map  $\phi$  is such that  $v \in \phi(X)$  for all  $v \in V - \{\rho\}$  of degree at most 2. A rooted phylogenetic tree is a phylogenetic tree  $\mathcal{T} = (T, \phi)$  whose root has degree at least 2. A rooted binary phylogenetic tree is a rooted phylogenetic tree such that every interior vertex has degree 3 except the root which has degree 2. We denote by RB(n) the set of all rooted binary phylogenetic trees where |X| = n.

Recall that a partial order on a set S is a relation  $\leq$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in S$ :

1. (Reflexivity)  $x \leq x$ .

- 2. (Antisymmetry) If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  then x = y.
- 3. (Transitivity) If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  then  $x \leq z$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (T, \phi)$  be a rooted X-tree:

- A partial order ≤<sub>T</sub> on the vertex set V of T is obtained by letting v<sub>1</sub> ≤<sub>T</sub> v<sub>2</sub> if the path between the root ρ and v<sub>2</sub> goes through v<sub>1</sub>. We say that v<sub>1</sub> is an *ancestor* of v<sub>2</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> is a *descendant* of v<sub>1</sub>.
- The most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of A ⊆ X is the greatest lower bound of φ(A) under ≤<sub>T</sub>.

**THM 1.12 (Counting rooted trees)** For all  $n \ge 3$ 

$$|RB(n)| = (2n - 3)!!$$

**Proof:** There is a natural bijection between B(n + 1) and RB(n): remove leaf n + 1 and the edge incident to it, and root the tree at the degree 2 node so created.

### **Further reading**

The definitions and results discussed here were taken from Chapter 2 of [SS03]. Much more on the subject can be found in that excellent monograph. See also [SS03] for the relevant bibliographic references.

## References

[SS03] Charles Semple and Mike Steel. *Phylogenetics*, volume 24 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.