MATH888: High-dimensional probability and statistics	Fall 2021
Lecture $11 - \text{October } 1, 2021$	

Sebastien Roch. UW-Madison

Scribe: Changyu Gao

1 Overview

In the last lecture we presented the *decoupling* and *comparison* theorems which prepare us for the proof of the Hanson-Wright Inequality.

In this lecture, we will prove the Hanson-Wright Inequality.

2 Hanson-Wright Proof and Applications

2.0 Review of Matrix Norms and Singular Value Decomposition

See Topic 2 on https://people.math.wisc.edu/~roch/mmids/ for details.

Recall that the Frobenius norm of an $n \times m$ matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is defined as

$$||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^2}$$

Define $\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1 \}$. The induced 2-norm of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is

$$\|A\|_{2} = \max_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \|A\mathbf{x}\|.$$

The singular value decomposition (SVD) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is a matrix factorization

$$A = U\Sigma V^T = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^T,$$

where the columns of $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and those of $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ are orthonormal, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ is a diagonal matrix. Here the \mathbf{u}_j 's are the columns of U and are referred to as left singular vectors. Similarly the \mathbf{v}_j 's are the columns of V and are referred to as right singular vectors. The σ_j 's, which are non-negative and in decreasing order

$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$$

are the diagonal elements of Σ and are referred to as singular values.

2.1Hanson-Wright Proof and Applications

Setting (*): let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be a random vector with independent mean-zero coordinates and X' be an independent copy of X. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

Theorem 1. (Hanson-Wright Inequality) Suppose (*) holds and further $K = \max ||X_i||_{\Psi_2} < \infty, \forall i$. Then for every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\left|X^{T}AX - \mathbb{E}(X^{T}AX)\right)\right| \ge t) \le 2\exp\left(-c\min\left(\frac{t^{2}}{K^{4}\|A\|_{F}^{2}}, \frac{t}{K^{2}\|A\|_{2}}\right)\right).$$

Proof. We will use three lemmas:

L1 (Decoupling) Suppose (*) holds and further the diagonal entries of A are all zero. For any convex function F, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(F(X^T A X)) \le \mathbb{E}(F(4X^T A X')).$$

Remark of L1 (Remark 6.1.3. in [V]) A slightly stronger version of decoupling inequality holds, in which A needs not be diagonal-free. For any square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we have

.

$$\mathbb{E}F\left(\sum_{i,j:i\neq j}a_{ij}X_iX_j\right) \leq \mathbb{E}F\left(4\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}X_iX_j'\right).$$

L2 (Comparison, Lemma 6.2.3 in [V]) Suppose (*) holds with $||X||_{\psi_2} \leq K$ and $||X'||_{\psi_2} \leq K$. Consider also independent random vectors $g, g' \sim N(0, I_n)$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(F(4X^TAX')) \le \mathbb{E}(\exp\left(cK^2g^TAg'\right)).$$

L3 (Gaussian chaos, Lemma 6.2.2 in [V]) Let $g, g' \sim N(0, I_n)$ be independent and A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\lambda| \leq c/||A||_2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp\left(\lambda g^{T}Ag'\right)) \leq \exp\left(C\lambda^{2}\|A\|_{F}^{2}\right).$$

We write

$$X^T A X = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X_i X_j = \sum_i a_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} X_i X_j.$$

It follows from independence and the mean-zero assumption that

,

$$\mathbb{E}(X^T A X) = \sum_i a_{ii} \mathbb{E} X_i^2.$$

The problem reduces to estimating the diagonal and off-diagonal sums:

$$\mathbb{P}(\left|X^{T}AX - \mathbb{E}(X^{T}AX))\right| \ge t) \le \underbrace{\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}(X_{i}^{2} - \mathbb{E}X_{i}^{2}) \ge t/2\right)}_{p_{1}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i \ne j} a_{ij}X_{i}X_{j} \ge t/2\right)}_{p_{2}}$$

(Diagonal sum) Note that by centering

$$\left\|a_{ii}(X_i^2 - \mathbb{E}X_i^2)\right\|_{\Psi_1} \lesssim |a_{ii}| \|X_i^2\|_{\Psi_1} \lesssim |a_{ii}| \|X_i\|_{\Psi_2}^2 \lesssim |a_{ii}| K^2.$$

Applying Bernstein's Inequality,

$$p_1 \le 2 \exp\left(-c \min\left(\frac{t^2}{K^4 \sum_i a_{ii}^2}, \frac{t}{K^2 \max_i |a_{ii}|}\right)\right) \le 2 \exp\left(-c \min\left(\frac{t^2}{K^4 ||A||_F^2}, \frac{t}{K^2 ||A||_2}\right)\right).$$

(Off diagonal sum) Let $S = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$. By Chebyshev's Inequality, for any $\lambda > 0$

$$p_2 = P(S \ge t/2) = P\left(e^{\lambda S} \ge e^{\lambda t/2}\right) \le \exp(-\lambda t/2)\mathbb{E}(\exp(\lambda S)).$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda S) \leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(4\lambda X^{\top} A X'\right) \qquad \text{(by L1)}$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \exp\left(C_1 \lambda g^{\top} A g'\right) \qquad \text{(by L2)}$$
$$\leq \exp\left(C\lambda^2 \|A\|_F^2\right) \qquad \text{(by L3),}$$

provided that $|\lambda| \leq c/||A||$.

Hence,

$$p_2 \le \exp\left(-\lambda t/2 + C\lambda^2 \|A\|_F^2\right).$$

Optimize over $0 < \lambda \leq c/||A||$, we conclude that

$$p_2 \le 2 \exp\left(-c' \min\left(\frac{t^2}{K^4 ||A||_F^2}, \frac{t}{K^2 ||A||_2}\right)\right).$$

We complete the proof by putting together the diagonal part p_1 and the off-diagonal part p_2 .

We have the following theorem as a consequence of the Hanson-Wright Inequality:

Theorem 2. (Concentration for random vectors, Thm 6.3.2 in [V]) Suppose (*) holds and further $K = \max ||X_i||_{\Psi_2} < \infty$, $\forall i$. Let B be an $n \times m$ matrix. Then

$$||||BX||_2 - ||B||_F||_{\Psi_2} \le CK^2 ||B||.$$

Proof. See Vershynin's textbook.

References

[1] R.Vershynin, *High-dimensional probability: An introduction with applications in data science*, Cambridge university press, 2008.