MATH888: High-dimensional probability and statistics		Fall 2021
Lecture $34 - Nov 24, 2021$		
Sebastien Roch, UW-Madison	Scribe: Yifei Ming, Seba	stien Roch

### 1 Overview

In Lecture 15, we discussed the properties of the (unconstrained) least square estimator for linear regression with sub-Gaussian noises. In this lecture, we turn our focus to a constrained version of the least square estimator that is particularly applicable in the sparse setting.

# 2 Main Section

We begin by formally introducing the setting of sparse linear regression, and then we consider two examples under different assumptions.

#### 2.1 Sparse Linear Regression

**Review of Linear Regression** Recall that in the linear regression problem, we have n data points:  $(\mathbb{X}, y)$ , where  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume  $y = \mathbb{X}\theta^* + \epsilon$ , where  $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the unknown parameter. The noise  $\epsilon$  is assumed to be sub-Gaussian with  $\|\epsilon\|_{\psi^2} \leq \sigma$ . The mean squared error (MSE) of an estimator  $\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}$  is defined as  $MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbb{X}\theta^* - \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}\|_2^2$ . In particular, the least square estimator is defined as:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{LS} \in rg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|y - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$$

We proved in Lecture 15 that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ :

$$MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{LS}) \lesssim \frac{\sigma^2}{n}(rank(\mathbb{X}) + \log(1/\delta))$$

**Sparsity** Recall that the  $\ell_0$  norm is defined as the number of non-zero entries of a vector:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_0 = \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbb{1}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_j \neq 0\}$$

Intuitively, sparsity corresponds to a "small"  $\ell_0$  norm. Specifically,  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is a k-sparse vector if  $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_0 \leq k$ . The support of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is defined as:

$$\operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \{j : \boldsymbol{\theta}_j \neq 0\}$$

Therefore we have  $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_0 = |\operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{\theta})|$ . Moreover, the  $\ell_0$  ball  $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$  of all k-sparse vectors is denoted as:

$$\mathcal{B}_0(k) = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p : \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_0 \le k \}$$

where k is the sparsity level.

#### 2.2 Benchmarks: Special cases

**Case 1** After introducing the notations, now let's consider a simple case where we assume the non-zero entries of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  are given. We denote  $S := \operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$  and  $\Delta = |S|$ . Let  $\mathbb{X}_S$  denote the submatrix of  $\mathbb{X}$  with columns  $\mathbb{X}_j$  for  $\forall j \in S$ . The corresponding least square estimator is given by:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S}^{LS} \in rg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Delta}} \|y - \mathbb{X}_{S}\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

Thus the full solution  $\hat{\theta}$  is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i = \begin{cases} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,i}^{LS} & \quad i \in S \\ 0 & \quad i \notin S \end{cases}$$

Then, by our previous results for linear regression, we have the following bound for MSE (w.p. at least  $1 - \delta$ ):

$$MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) < rac{\sigma^2}{n} (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_0 + \log(1/\delta))$$

**Case 2** Suppose supp $(\theta^*)$  is unknown but we know the number of non-zero entries  $k = \|\theta^*\|_0$ . A natural least square estimator for a fixed k is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathcal{B}_{0}(k)}^{LS} \in \arg\min\{\|y - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{B}_{0}(k)\}$$

Then we take the best estimator among  $\hat{\theta}_{S}^{LS}$  for each subset S with |S| = k. Note that this brute force approach is computationally expensive, as we need to compute  $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ k \end{pmatrix}$  estimators for each k—we will come back later in the course to a computationally efficient approach. But for now, despite the computational difficulty, we analyze the statistical properties of this estimator.

**Theorem 1.** (Thm 2.6 in [1]) Fix a positive integer  $k \leq p/2$ . Let  $K = \mathcal{B}_0(k)$  be set of k-sparse vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and assume that  $\theta^* \in \mathcal{B}_0(k)$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , with probability  $1 - \delta$ , it holds

$$\mathrm{MSE}\left(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}_{\mathcal{B}_{0}(k)}^{LS}\right) \lesssim \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \log \left(\begin{array}{c}p\\2k\end{array}\right) + \frac{\sigma^{2}k}{n} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \log(1/\delta)$$

Before proving the theorem, we prove a lemma. First, some notation. For any subset  $S \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ , denote  $r_S = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbb{X}_S) \leq |S|$ . Further, let  $\Phi_S = [\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{r_S}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r_S}$  be the collection of orthonormal basis of the column space of  $\mathbb{X}_S$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathcal{B}_0(k)}^{\text{LS}}$ . We have

$$\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2^2 \le 4 \max_{|S|=2k} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{B}_2^{r_S}} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_S^\top u)^2$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}_S = \epsilon^{\top} \Phi_S \sim \text{subG}_{r_S}(\sigma^2)$ .

Proof. By definition,

$$\|y - \mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_2^2 \le \|y - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2^2 = \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|_2^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\|y - \mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} + \epsilon - \mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\|_{2}^{2} - 2\epsilon^{\top}\mathbb{X}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right) + \|\epsilon\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Rearranging the terms, we have

$$\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2^2 \leq 2\epsilon^\top \mathbb{X}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right) = 2\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2 \frac{\epsilon^\top \mathbb{X}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)}{\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2}.$$

Next we aim to bound

$$\frac{\epsilon^\top \mathbb{X}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)}{\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2}.$$

Let  $\hat{S} = \operatorname{supp}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta^*)$ . As both  $\tilde{\theta}$  and  $\theta^*$  have at most k non-zero entries, we have  $|\hat{S}| \leq 2k$ . Then we know that there exists some vector  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{\hat{S}}}$  such that

$$\mathbb{X}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}-\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\right)=\Phi_{\hat{S}}\nu.$$

Let  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{\top} \Phi_{\hat{S}}$ . Then we have

$$r = \frac{\epsilon^{\top} \mathbb{X} \left( \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \right)}{\|\mathbb{X} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X} \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2} = \frac{\epsilon^{\top} \Phi_{\hat{S}} \nu}{\|\nu\|_2} = \tilde{\epsilon}^{\top} \frac{\nu}{\|\nu\|_2} \le \max_{|S| = 2k} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{B}_2^{\tau_S}} \left[ \epsilon^{\top} \Phi_S \right] u,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_2^{r_S}$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^{r_S}$ . Here we need to "sup out" the support of  $\tilde{\theta} - \theta^*$  and the vector  $\nu$  as they depend in a subtle way on  $\epsilon$  (and therefore would prevent us form applying the sub-Gaussianity of  $\epsilon$ ). Therefore, we have:

$$\|\mathbb{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbb{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|_2^2 \le 4 \max_{|S|=2k} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{B}_2^{r_S}} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_S^\top u)^2,$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}_S = \epsilon^{\top} \Phi_S \sim \text{subG}_{r_S}(\sigma^2)$ , as claimed. This last claim on the sub-Gaussian norm of  $\epsilon^{\top} \Phi_S$  follows from the fact that the columns of  $\Phi_S$  are orthonormal by construction and from the definition of the sub-Gaussian vectors.

We will conclude the proof of the theorem next time.

# References

[1] Philippe Rigollet and Jan-Christian Hütter, 18.657: High Dimensional Statistics Lecture Notes, MIT, 2017.